arXiv:math/0606740v3 [math.DS] 20 Jul 2007

POSITIVE TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY FOR MAGNETIC FLOWS ON SURFACES.

JOSÉ ANTÔNIO GONÇALVES MIRANDA

Abstract. We study the topological entropy of the magnetic flow on a closed riemannian surface. We prove that if the magnetic flow has a non-hyperbolic closed orbit in some energy set $T^cM = E^{-1}(c)$, then there exists an exact C^∞-perturbation of the 2-form Ω such that the new magnetic flow has positive topological entropy in T^cM. We also prove that if the magnetic flow has an infinite number of closed orbits in T^cM, then there exists an exact C^1-perturbation of Ω with positive topological entropy in T^cM. The proof of the last result is based on an analog of Franks' lemma for magnetic flows on surfaces, that is proven in this work, and Mañé's techniques on dominated splitting. As a consequence of those results, an exact magnetic flow on S^2 in high energy levels admits a C^1-perturbation with positive topological entropy. In the appendices we show that an exact magnetic flow on the torus in high energy levels admits a C^∞-perturbation with positive topological entropy.

1. Introduction and statements.

Let M be a closed and oriented surface with a smooth riemannian metric g and $\pi : TM \to M$ its tangent bundle. Let ω_0 be the symplectic structure on TM obtained by pulling back the canonical symplectic structure of the cotangent bundle T^*M using the riemannian metric g. For any $x \in M$, let $i : T_xM \to T_xM$ be the linear map such that $\{v, i\cdot v\}$ is a positive oriented orthogonal basis for T_xM. Consider the 2-form Ω_0 in M defined by:

$$\Omega_0(x)(u,v) = g_x(\ i\cdot u\ ,\ v\ )\quad (\text{ Area form }).$$

We denote by $\Omega^2(M)$ the set of all smooth 2-forms on M. Since M is a surface, if $\Omega \in \Omega^2(M)$, there exist a smooth function $f : M \to I\!R$ such that $\Omega = f\cdot\Omega_0$. Therefore, we can consider the C^k-topology in $\Omega^2(M)$ as being the C^k-topology in the space of smooth functions on M, which we denote by $C^k(M)$.

Given $\Omega = f\cdot\Omega_0 \in \Omega^2(M)$, let $\omega(\Omega)$ be the symplectic structure in TM defined by

$$\omega(\Omega) = \omega_0 + \pi^*\Omega = \omega_0 + (f\circ\pi)\cdot\pi^*\Omega_0$$

which is called the *twisted symplectic structure*. Let $E : TM \to I\!R$ be the hamiltonian given by

$$E(x,v) = \frac{1}{2}g_x(v,v)\quad (\text{Kinetic Energy}).$$

The *magnetic field* associated to Ω is the hamiltonian field $X(\Omega) = X^f$ of the hamiltonian E with respect to $\omega(\Omega)$. The *magnetic flow* associated to Ω is the hamiltonian flow $\phi_t(\Omega) = \phi_t^f : TM \to TM$ induced by the vector field $X(\Omega)$. This flow models the motion of a unit mass particle under the effect of the *Lorentz force* $Y = f\cdot i$. In other words, a curve $t \mapsto (\gamma(t), \dot\gamma(t)) \subset TM$ is an orbit of ϕ_t if and only if $\gamma : I\!R \to M$ satisfies:

$$\frac{D}{dt}\dot\gamma = Y_\gamma(\dot\gamma) = f(\gamma)\ i\cdot\dot\gamma. \tag{1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B40, 37D30, 37J99 .

Observe that if $\Omega \equiv 0$ (i.e. $f \equiv 0$), the above equation coincides with the geodesic equation on M for the riemannian metric g. A curve which satisfies equation (1) is called an Ω-*magnetic geodesic*.

For $c > 0$, let T^cM be the bundle defined by $T^cM = E^{-1}(c)$. Note that T^cM is a compact invariant submanifold of TM and that the restriction of ϕ_t^Ω to T^cM has no fixed points.

When Ω is an exact 2-form (i.e. $\Omega = d\eta$), we can define the convex and superlinear lagrangian $L_\eta : TM \to I\!R$ as

$$L_\eta(x,v) = \frac{1}{2}g_x(v,v) - \eta_x(v).$$

Computing the Euler-Lagrange equation of L, we obtain that the extremal curves coincide with the $d\eta$-magnetic geodesics. Then the magnetic flow associated to $d\eta$ can be studied as a lagrangian flow. In this case the flow is called *exact magnetic flow.*

Magnetic flows have attracted considerable attention in recent years. This class of dynamical systems was first considered by V.I. Arnold in [2] and by D. V. Anosov and Y. G. Sinai in [1]. remarkable properties of magnetic flows were obtained by many authors; we refer to [14, 28, 6, 5, 10]. In this work we are interested to study the behavior of the functional $\Omega \mapsto h_{top}(\Omega, c)$ for a prescribed energy level $c > 0$, where $h_{top}(\Omega, c) = h_{top}(g, \Omega, c)$ denotes the topological entropy of $\phi_t^\Omega\big|_{T^cM}$.

The *topological entropy* is a dynamical invariant that, roughly speaking, measures its orbit structure complexity. Its precise definition can be found in [4]. The relevant question about the topological entropy is whether it is positive or vanishes. Standard arguments in dynamical systems show that if a flow contains a transversal homoclinic orbit then it has positive topological entropy (in fact it contains a nontrivial hyperbolic set). Conversely, if $h_{top}(\Omega, c) > 0$, the result of A. Katok [18] implies that ϕ_t^Ω presents a transversal homoclinic orbit in T^cM. In particular, it has infinitely many closed orbits.

The main results of this paper are:

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a closed oriented surface with a smooth metric g. Let Ω be a 2-form on M and $c > 0$. Suppose that the magnetic flow ϕ_t^Ω has a non-hyperbolic closed orbit in T^cM. Then there is an exact 2-form $d\eta$ of arbitrarily small norm, in the C^r-topology (with $4 \leq r \leq \infty$), such that $h_{top}(\Omega + d\eta, c) > 0$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a closed oriented surface with a smooth metric g. Let Ω be a 2-form on M and $c > 0$. Suppose that the magnetic flow ϕ_t^Ω has an infinite number of closed orbits in T^cM. Then there is an exact 2-form $d\eta$ of arbitrarily small norm, in the C^1-topology, such that $h_{top}(\Omega + d\eta, c) > 0$.*

Two important tools to prove these theorems are a version of the conservative Kupka-Smale theorem for magnetic flows on surfaces, and a family of generic properties for the k-jet of the Poincaré map of all closed orbits, both proven in [27]. We will give the precise statements in section 2. In order to prove theorem 1.1, we will show that if there exists a non-hyperbolic closed orbit in T^cM, then we can approximate Ω by another 2-form in the same cohomology class of Ω, such that, the corresponding magnetic flows has an elliptic closed orbit in T^cM for which the Poincaré map is a generic exact twist map in a neighborhood of the elliptic fixed point. Then, a result of Le Calvez [20] implies that the Poincaré map has a transversal homoclinic point. Therefore, the magnetic flow can be approximated by another one with positive topological entropy. We will give the details of these arguments in section 3. Hence, in order to prove theorem 1.2, we can assume that all closed magnetic geodesics in T^cM are hyperbolic. Using Mañé's technique of dominated splitting [21] and an analog of the Franks' lemma (theorem 4.1) for magnetic flows on surface, we will obtain a nontrivial hyperbolic set of ϕ_t^Ω in T^cM. Since Mañé's technique and Franks' lemma only work in the C^1-topology, we only obtain this approximation in the C^1-topology. The details and statements are given in section 5.

Let us now describe an application of theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to exact magnetic flows on S^2 in high energy levels. We recall the definition of the strict Mañé's critical value for convex and superlinear lagrangians (cf. [23, 8] and [29]). Let $L : TM \to I\!\!R$ be a convex and superlinear lagrangian. The *strict Mañé's critical values* of L is the real number $c_0(L)$ such that

$$c_0(L) = \inf\{k \in I\!\!R;\ \int_0^T (L(\gamma(t), \dot\gamma(t)) + k)\, dt \geq 0 \text{ for any absolutely continuous closed curve } \gamma$$
$$\text{homologous to zero defined in any closed interval [0,T]}\}.$$

It is well known that for an arbitrary surface M, if $\Omega = d\eta$ and $c > c_0(L_\eta)$, then the restriction of the exact magnetic flow in the energy set T^cM is a reparametrization of a geodesic flow in the unit tangent bundle for an appropriate Finsler metric on M (cf. [9]). Recall that a *Finsler metric* is a function $F : TM \to I\!\!R$ such that: F is differentiable away from the zero section, its second derivative in the direction of the fibres is positive defined and $F(x, \lambda\, v) = \lambda F(x, v)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $(x, v) \in TM$. If g is a riemannian metric on M, then $F(x, v) = g_x(v, v)^{1/2}$ is a trivial example of a Finsler metric. We say that a Finsler metric is *bumpy* if all closed geodesics are *non-degenerate*, this is, if the linearized Poincaré map of every closed geodesic does not admit a root of unity as an eigenvalue.

Many results for geodesic flows of a riemannian metric remain valid for Finsler metrics, but, in contrast with the riemannian case, there exist examples of bumpy Finsler metrics on S^2 with only two closed geodesics. These examples were given by Katok in [17] and were studied geometrically by Ziller in [35].

The following theorem is a particular case of a result proved by Radamacher in [30, theorem 3.1(b)] for bumpy geodesic flows on compact simply-connected manifold satisfying a topological condition over its rational cohomology algebra $H^*(M, \mathbb{Q})$. This condition holds for S^2 and this result remains valid for bumpy Finsler metrics (cf. [30, pg. 81]). See also the theorem proved by N. Hingston in [15, section 6.2].

Theorem 1.3. *Let $F : TS^2 \to I\!\!R$ be a bumpy Finsler metric on S^2. Suppose that there are only finitely many closed geodesics for F in S^2. Then there is least one non-hyperbolic closed geodesic.*

Combining theorem 1.3 with theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we obtain the following proposition, which is a version of a result for geodesic flows on S^2 proved by G. Contreras and G. Paternain in [12], for the class of flow studied here.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $\Omega = d\eta$ be an exact 2-form in (S^2, g). Then, for any $c > c_0(L_\eta)$ there is an exact 2-form $d\overline{\eta}$ on S^2, of norm arbitrarily small in the C^1-topology, such that $h_{top}(d(\eta + \overline{\eta}), c) > 0$.*

A 2-form Ω on M is said to be *weakly exact* if its lift to the universal covering $\tilde M$ of M is exact. Of course an exact form is weakly exact. If Ω is weakly exact then the lift of the magnetic flow to $\tilde M$ is an exact magnetic flow and we can define the critical value $c(g, \Omega) = c(\Omega)$ as the strict critical value of the lagrangian on $T\tilde M$ corresponding to the lifted flow on $T\tilde M$, that can be infinite. In fact $c(\Omega) < \infty$ if and only if the lift of Ω has a bounded primitive (cf. [5]). For surfaces M of genus ≥ 2, each $\Omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ is weakly exact and we always have $c(\Omega) < \infty$. In this case, K. Burns and G.Paternain proved that $h_{top}(\Omega, c) > 0$ for all $\Omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ and for all $c > c(\Omega)$ [5, proposition 5.4].

In Appendix A, using results of [25], [11] and [24], we prove a result analogous to proposition 1.1 for the two-dimensional torus by performing perturbations in the C^∞-topology.

2. PRELIMINARIES: GENERIC PROPERTIES

In this section we will give the statements of some results proved in [27] that we shall use in the proof of the main results of this work.

We say that a property P is *C^r-generic for magnetic flows* if, for any $c > 0$, there exists a subset $\mathcal{O}(c) \subset \Omega^2(M)$, such that:

(a) The subset $\mathcal{O}_h(c) := \mathcal{O}(c) \cap \{\Omega \in \Omega^2(M); [\Omega] = h\}$ is C^r-residual in $\{\Omega \in \Omega^2(M); [\Omega] = h\}$, for all $h \in H^2(M, I\!R)$.

(b) The flow $\phi_t^\Omega\big|_{T^cM}$ has the property P, for all $\Omega \in \mathcal{O}(c)$.

The following theorem is a conservative version of the Kupka-Smale theorem for magnetic flows on surfaces.

Theorem 2.1. [27, theorem 1.2] *Let M be a closed and oriented surface with a smooth metric g. The following property:*

$$P_{K-S} : \begin{cases} (i) & \textit{all closed orbits are hyperbolic or elliptic} \\ (ii) & \textit{all heteroclinic points are transversal} \end{cases}$$

is C^r-generic for magnetic flows on surfaces, with $1 \leq r \leq \infty$.

Let us recall some facts about the jet space for symplectic maps in $(I\!R^{2n}, \omega_0)$. Let $Diff_{\omega_0}(I\!R^{2n}, 0)$ be the space of smooth symplectic diffeomorphisms $f : (I\!R^{2n}, \omega_0) \to (I\!R^{2n}, \omega_0)$ that fix the origin. Given $k \in I\!N$, consider the equivalence relation $\sim_k$ in $Diff_{\omega_0}(I\!R^{2n}, 0)$, defined as:

$$f \sim_k g \Leftrightarrow \text{the Taylor polynomials of degree } k \text{ at zero are equal.}$$

We define the *k-jet* of $f \in Diff_{\omega_0}(I\!R^{2n}, 0)$, which we will denote by $j^k(f) = j^k(f)(0)$, as the equivalence class with respect to the relation $\sim_k$. The *space of symplectic k-jets $J_s^k(n)$* is the set of all equivalence class with respect to the relation $\sim_k$ of elements of $Diff_{\omega_0}(I\!R^{2n}, 0)$. When $k = 1$, we can identify $J_s^1(n)$ with $Sp(n)$. We say that a subset $Q \subset J_s^k(n)$ is *invariant* when $\sigma \cdot Q \cdot \sigma^{-1} = Q$, for all $\sigma \in J_s^k(n)$.

Let $\theta_t = \phi_t^\Omega(\theta)$ be a periodic orbit with period $T > 0$ in T^cM and $\Sigma \subset T^cM$ be a local transversal section in the energy level T^cM over the point θ. Then, the twisted symplectic form $\omega(\Omega)$ induces a symplectic form on Σ and the Poincaré map $P(\theta, \Sigma, \Omega) : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ preserves this form. Therefore, using Darboux coordinates, we can assume that $j^k(P(\theta, \Sigma, \Omega)) \in J_s^k(1)$. The fact that $j^k(P(\theta, \Sigma, \Omega))$ belongs to an invariant subset Q is independent of the chosen section $\Sigma \subset T^cM$ and of the chosen coordinates of Σ.

Theorem 2.2. [27, theorem 1.3] *Let $\theta_t = \phi_t^\Omega(\theta)$ be a closed orbit. Let $Q \subset J_s^k(1)$ be an open and invariant, such that $j^k(P(\theta, \Sigma, \Omega)) \in \overline{Q}$. Then there exists an exact 2-form $d\eta \in \Omega^2(M)$, arbitrarily C^r-close to zero, with $r > k$, such that*

(i) *θ_t is a closed orbit of $\phi_t^{\Omega+d\eta}$ and*

(ii) *$j^k(P(\theta, \Sigma, \Omega + d\eta)) \in Q$.*

3. MAGNETIC FLOWS WITH A NON-HYPERBOLIC CLOSED ORBIT

Let us recall the Birkhoff's Normal Form (for a proof see [32, pg. 222]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let f be a C^4 diffeomorphism defined in a neighborhood of $0 \in I\!R^2$ such that f preserves the area form $dx \wedge dy$ and $f(0) = 0$. Suppose that the eigenvalues of d_0f satisfy: $|\lambda| = 1$ and $\lambda^n \neq 1$, for all $n \in \{1, ..., 4\}$. Then there exists a C^4 diffeomorphism h, defined in a neighborhood of 0 such that: $h(0) = 0$, h preserves the form $dx \wedge dy$ and*

$$h^{-1} \circ f \circ h(r, \theta) = (\ r\ ,\ \theta + \alpha + \beta r^2\ ) + \mathcal{O}(r^4)$$

in polar coordinates (r, θ). Moreover, the property of $\beta \neq 0$ depends only on f.

We say that a homeomorphism $f : [a, b] \times S^1 \to [a, b] \times S^1$ is a *twist map* if for all $\theta \in S^1$ the function $[a, b] \mapsto \pi_2 \circ f(\cdot, \theta) \in S^1$ is strictly monotonic . Observe that if the coefficient $\beta = \beta(f)$ in the normal form is not equal to zero, then f is conjugated to a twist map in $[0,\epsilon]\times S^1$, for ϵ small enough.

We shall use the following result:

Proposition 3.1 (Le Calvez [20, Remarques pg.34])**.** *Let f be a diffeomorphism of the annulus $I\!R\times S^1$ that is an area preserving twist map and is such that the form $f^*(R\,d\theta)-R\,d\theta$ is exact. Suppose f has the following properties:*

- (i) *for every periodic point x of period $q\geq 1$, the real number 1 is not an eigenvalue of $d_x f^q$,*
- (ii) *the stable and unstable manifolds of any couple of hyperbolic periodic points of f intersect transversally (i.e. whenever they meet, they meet transversally).*

Then f has periodic orbits with homoclinic points.

We are now ready to show theorem 1.1.

3.1. **Proof of theorem 1.1.**

Let $\theta_t=\phi_t^{\Omega}(\theta)$ be a non-hyperbolic closed orbit of minimal period $T>0$, contained in T^cM. Let $P=P(\theta,\Sigma,\Omega)$ be the Poincaré map for a local transversal section $\Sigma\subset T^cM$ that contains the point θ. Since θ_t is non-hyperbolic, the eigenvalues of $d_\theta P$ are of the form $e^{\pm 2\pi i\alpha}$, with $\alpha\in[0,1)$. Recall that the symplectic twisted form $\omega(\Omega)$ induces a symplectic structure in Σ and $P:\Sigma\to\Sigma$ preserves this structure. Therefore, via Darboux coordinates, we can suppose that P is an area preserving diffeomorphism defined in a neighborhood of $0\in I\!R^2$ and $P(0)=0$.

Define $Q\subset J^3_s(1)$ as:

$$Q=\left\{\ \sigma\circ f_{\alpha,\beta}\circ\sigma^{-1}\ ;\ \sigma\in J^3_s(1),\ \beta\neq 0,\ \text{ and } n\alpha\notin I\!N \text{ for all } n\in\{1,2,3,4\}\right\},$$

where $f_{\alpha,\beta}:I\!R^2\to I\!R^2$ is given by $f_{\alpha,\beta}(r,\theta)=(r,\theta+\alpha+\beta r^2)+\mathcal{O}(r^4)$, in polar coordinates.

By the Birkhoff's normal form (theorem 3.1), the subset $Q\subset J^3_s(1)$ is open and invariant. Since the orbit θ_t is non-hyperbolic, we have that $j^3(P(\theta,\Sigma,\Omega))\in\overline{Q}$. Applying the theorem 2.2, we obtain an exact 2-form $d\overline{\eta}$ arbitrarily close to $0\in\Omega^2(M)$ in the C^r-topology (with $r\geq 4$) such that θ_t is a closed orbit of same period for the flow $\phi_t^{\Omega+d\overline{\eta}}$ and $j^k(P(\theta,\Sigma,\Omega+d\overline{\eta}))\in Q$.

Observe that θ_t is elliptic for the perturbed flow $\phi_t^{\Omega+d\overline{\eta}}$. Therefore, there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}\subset\Omega^2(M)$ of $(\Omega+d\overline{\eta})$ such that, for all $\overline{\Omega}\in\mathcal{U}$, the flow $\phi_t^{\overline{\Omega}}\Big|_{T^cM}$ has an elliptic closed orbit $\overline{\theta}_t=\overline{\theta}_t(\overline{\Omega})$ close to θ_t that we call *analytic continuation of* θ_t. Since Q is open, if the neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ is taken small enough, we can assume that $j^3(P(\overline{\theta},\Sigma,\overline{\Omega}))\in Q$, for all $\overline{\Omega}\in\mathcal{U}$.

On the other hand, by theorem 2.1, there is a C^r-residual subset $\mathcal{O}(\Omega,c)\subset\{\overline{\Omega}\in\Omega^2(M);\ [\overline{\Omega}]=[\Omega]\}$ (with $r\geq 4$) such that, for each $\overline{\Omega}\in\mathcal{O}(\Omega,c)$, the corresponding magnetic flow $\phi_t^{\overline{\Omega}}\Big|_{T^cM}$ satisfies the property P_{K-S}: all periodic orbits are elliptic or hyperbolic and all heteroclinic orbits are transversal. Then, for each closed orbit of $\phi_t^{\overline{\Omega}}\Big|_{T^cM}$ its Poincaré map satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii) of proposition 3.1.

Since $\mathcal{O}(\Omega,c)$ is a residual subset of $\{\overline{\Omega}\in\Omega^2(M);\ [\overline{\Omega}]=[\Omega]\}$ we can C^r-approximate $d\overline{\eta}$ (with $r\geq 4$) by an exact 2-form $d\eta$ such that $(\Omega+d\eta)\in\mathcal{O}(\Omega,c)\cap\mathcal{U}$. Hence, if $\overline{\theta}_t$ is the analytic continuation of θ_t, then $f:=P(\overline{\theta},\Sigma,\Omega+d\eta)$ satisfies $j^3(f)\in Q$ and the conditions (i) and (ii) of proposition 3.1.

By definition of Q, the map f is conjugated to a twist map $f_0=hfh^{-1}$, in polar coordinates. In order to apply proposition 3.1, we need to do a change of coordinates which transforms f_0 into a twist map $T:I\!R^+\times S^1\to I\!R^+\times S^1$, such that the 1-form $T^*(Rd\theta)-Rd\theta$ is exact. Then the existence of a homoclinic orbit implies the existence of a non-trivial hyperbolic basic set.

In fact, we consider the following maps:

$$(x,y) \longrightarrow (r,\theta) \longrightarrow (\tfrac{1}{2}r^2,\theta) = (R,\theta)$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{D} \arrow[r, "P"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_0"'] & \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1 \arrow[d, "T"] \\
\mathbb{D} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C};\ |z| < 1\ \}$, $P^{-1}(r,\theta) = (r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$. Let $G(x,y) = (\frac{1}{2}r^2,\theta) = (R,\theta)$. Then $\lambda := G^*(R\ d\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(x\ dy - y\ dx)$. Observe that $d\lambda = dx \wedge dy$ is the area form $\mathbb{D}$. Since $\mathbb{D}$ is contractible, we have that ${f_0}^*(\lambda) - \lambda$ is exact. Therefore $T^*(R\,d\theta) - R\,d\theta$ is exact. Since $R(r) = \frac{1}{2}\,r^2$ strictly increasing on $r > 0$, T is a twist map if and only if f_0 is a twist map.

□

Let us give two simple examples for which we can apply the theorem 1.1.

Example 3.1. Let (M,g) be a closed surface. We suppose that the scalar curvature satisfies $\frac{1}{4} \le K \le 1$. Let $\Omega \equiv 0 \in \Omega^2(M)$. Then the Ω-magnetic geodesics are the geodesics on M with respect to the metric g. In this case, Thorbergsson proved in [34] the existence of a non-hyperbolic closed geodesic.

Example 3.2. Let $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \|x\|^2 < 5\}$, with the euclidian metric of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the corresponding area form Ω_0. Let η be a 1-form in B such that $d\eta = -\Omega_0$. We consider the exact magnetic field given by the lagrangian

$$L(x,v) = \frac{1}{2}\langle v,v\rangle - \eta_x(v).$$

The Euler-Lagrange vector field of $L : B \to \mathbb{R}$ can be seen as local expression of a magnetic field in a closed surface.

Since $d\eta = -\Omega_0$ the Euler-Lagrange vector field is given by:

$$\dot{v} = i \cdot v. \tag{2}$$

We fix an initial point $p_0 = (-1,0) \in B$ and $v_0 = (1,0) \in T_p^{\frac{1}{2}}B$. By the equation (2), we have that the circle $C : [0,2\pi] \to B$, given in polar coordinates by $C(t) = (r(t),\theta(t)) = (1,\pi - t)$ is a $d\eta$-magnetic geodesics. Moreover, all circles obtained by rotation of C fixing the point $p_0 \in C$ are solutions of (2). Hence, if $(p_0,v_0) \in \Sigma \subset T_p^{\frac{1}{2}}B$ is a local transversal section, and $P : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is the corresponding Poincaré map, then $P(p_0,v) = (p_0,v),\ \forall\ (p_0,v) \in \Sigma$. Therefore, the orbit $(C(t),\dot{C}(t))$ is degenerate, in particular non-hyperbolic.

4. Franks' lemma for magnetic flows

Let (M,g) be a closed riemannian surface and let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection. Then ∇ induces a connection $K : TTM \to TM$, in the following way: given $\xi \in T_\theta TM$, let $z : (-\epsilon,\epsilon) \to TM$ be an adapted curve to ξ (this is, z satisfies $z(0) = \theta$ and $\dot{z}(0) = \xi$), then $z(t) = (\pi \circ z(t), V(t))$, where V is a vector field over $\pi \circ z(t)$, and we can define $K_\theta(\xi) = \nabla_{(\pi \circ \dot{z}(t))}V(0)$. Let $H(\theta),\ V(\theta) \subset T_\theta TM$ be the *vertical and horizontal subspaces* defined as

$$V(\theta) = Ker(d_\theta\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad H(\theta) = Ker(K_\theta)$$

respectively. Then, we have the splitting:

$$T_\theta TM = H(\theta) \oplus V(\theta) \approx T_{\pi(\theta)}M \times T_{\pi(\theta)}M.$$

Note that the vertical subbundle does not depend on the riemannian metric and $H(\theta)$ and $V(\theta)$ are lagrangian subspaces of $(T_\theta TM, \omega_0(\theta))$.

Let us recall the definition of the magnetic Jacobi fields. Let $\Omega = f\Omega_0$ and $\theta_t = (\gamma(t), \dot\gamma(t))$ be the orbit of a point $\theta = (x, v) \in T^cM$, with $c > 0$. Let $z : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to TM$ be an adapted curve of $\xi \in T_\theta TM$. We define the *magnetic Jacobi field* $\mathrm{J}_\xi(t)$ as the vector field along γ given by:

$$\mathrm{J}_\xi(t) = \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} \pi \circ \phi_t^\Omega(z(s)).$$

Computing the horizontal and vertical components of the linearized magnetic flow, we obtain:

$$d\phi_t^\Omega(\theta)(\xi) = \left(\mathrm{J}_\xi(t), \frac{D}{dt}\mathrm{J}_\xi(t)\right) \quad , \ \forall \xi \in T_\theta TM. \tag{3}$$

Note that if $\xi \in T_\theta T^cM$ then $d\phi_t^\Omega(\theta)(\xi) \in T_{\theta_t}T^cM$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore:

$$0 \equiv dE\left(\mathrm{J}_\xi(t), \frac{D}{dt}\mathrm{J}_\xi(t)\right) = g\left(\dot\gamma(t)\ ,\ \frac{D}{dt}\mathrm{J}_\xi(t)\right). \tag{4}$$

Using that the curves $t \mapsto \pi \circ \phi_t^\Omega(z(s))$ are solutions of the equation (1) and some basic identities of the Riemannian geometry, we have that a vector field $\mathrm{J}_\xi(t)$ along a Ω-magnetic geodesic $\gamma(t)$ is a Jacobi field if, and only if, it satisfies the *magnetic Jacobi equation* (for details see [28]):

$$\frac{D^2}{d^2t}\mathrm{J}_\xi + R(\dot\gamma, \mathrm{J}_\xi)\dot\gamma - \left(\nabla_{\mathrm{J}_\xi} f\right)\ i \cdot \dot\gamma - f\ i \cdot \frac{D}{dt}\mathrm{J}_\xi = 0, \tag{5}$$

where R denotes the riemannian curvature tensor of (M, g).

Let $\mathcal{N}(t) = \mathcal{N}(\theta_t) \subset T_\theta T^cM$ be the set

$$\mathcal{N}(t) = \{\xi \in T_{\theta_t}T^cM;\ g_{\gamma(t)}(d\pi(\xi), \dot\gamma(t)) = 0\}. \tag{6}$$

Since $d_\theta\pi(X^\Omega(\theta_t)) = \dot\gamma(t)$, the subspace $\mathcal{N}(t)$ is transversal to X^Ω along of θ_t. Hence

$$T_{\theta_t}T^cM = \mathcal{N}(t) \oplus \langle X^\Omega(\theta_t)\rangle.$$

Therefore, the restriction of the twisted form $\omega_\Omega(\theta)$ to $\mathcal{N}(\theta)$ is a non-degenerate 2-form. Note that $\mathcal{N}(\theta)$ does not depend on Ω. Let $e_1(t)$ and $e_2(t)$ be the vector fields along of θ_t defined by:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} e_1(t) = (\ \ i \cdot \dot\gamma(t)\ ,\ 0\ )\ , \\ e_2(t) = (\ 0\ ,\ \ i \cdot \dot\gamma(t)\ ) \end{array}\right. \quad \in H(\theta_t) \oplus V(\theta_t). \tag{7}$$

Note that $e_1(t),\ e_2(t) \in \mathcal{N}(t)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\xi = \xi_1 e_1(0) + \xi_2 e_2(0) \in T_\theta T^cM$. Using the basis $\{\dot\gamma(t), i \cdot \dot\gamma(t)\}_{\gamma(t)}$ of $T_{\gamma(t)}M$, we can write $J_\xi(t) = x(t)\dot\gamma(t) + y(t)(\ i \cdot \dot\gamma(t))$ for some smooth functions $x,\ y : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. A straightforward computation using (3) and (4) shows that $x, y : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are solutions of

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} \dot x & = & fy \\ \ddot y & = & \{-2cK_\gamma + g(\nabla f,\ i \cdot \dot\gamma) - f^2\}y \end{array}\right. , \tag{8}$$

with the initial conditions $x(0) = 0,\ y(0) = \xi_1$ and $\dot y(0) = \xi_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi_t^f(\theta)(\xi) &= (\mathrm{J}_\xi(t), \frac{D}{dt}\mathrm{J}_\xi(t)) = (\ (x(t)\dot\gamma(t) + y(t)\ i \cdot \dot\gamma(t))\ ,\ (\dot y(t) + x(t)f)\ i \cdot \dot\gamma(t)\ ) = \\ &= x(t)X^f(t) + y(t)e_1(t) + \dot y(t)e_2(t) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Let $\Sigma_t \in T^cM$ be a one parameter family of transversal sections such that $T_{\theta_t}\Sigma_t = \mathcal{N}(t)$. Let $P_t(f) : \Sigma_0 \to \Sigma_t$ be the corresponding Poincaré map, then

$$d\phi_t^f(\theta)\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & d_\theta P_t(f) \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Using (9) and (10), we obtain that $d_\theta P_t(f) : \mathcal{N}(0) \to \mathcal{N}(t)$ is the fundamental matrix of

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[\begin{array}{c} y(t) \\ \dot{y}(t) \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -K_{\text{mag}}(f)(t) & 0 \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} y(t) \\ \dot{y}(t) \end{array}\right], \tag{11}$$

where $K_{\text{mag}}(f)(t) := 2cK_{\gamma(t)} - g_{\gamma(t)}(\nabla f,\ i\cdot\dot{\gamma}) + f^2(\gamma(t))$ is called the *magnetic curvature.*

The following lemma is an easy consequence of the equation (1).

Lemma 4.1. [27, lemma 2.1] *Given* $c > 0$ *and* $\Omega = f\Omega_0 \in \Omega^2(M)$*, let* $K = K(c, f) \in I\!R$ *be defined as* $K = \min\{\frac{1}{(\|f\|_{C^0}+1)^2}, \frac{i(M,g)}{2c}\}$*, where* $i(M, g)$ *denotes the injectivity radius. Then* $\pi \circ \phi^f(\theta) : [0, K) \to M$ *is injective, for all* $\theta \in T^cM$*. In particular, any closed orbit of* ϕ^f_t *in* T^cM *has period less or equal to* K*.*

Let us now define our perturbation space. Let $K = K(f, c)$ be given by the lemma 4.1. For each $\frac{K}{2} < T < K$ and $W \subset M$ open with $W \cap \gamma((\frac{K}{2}, T)) \neq 0$, we define the set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(W, \gamma, f, T)$ by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{h \in C^\infty(M);\ h|_{\gamma([0,T])} \equiv 0,\ \text{supp }(h) \subset W \text{ and } [h\Omega_0] = 0 \in H^2(M, I\!R)\right\}.$$

By definition, if $h \in \mathcal{F}$, the curve $\gamma : [0, T] \to M$ satisfies:

$$\frac{D}{dt}\dot{\gamma}(t) = f(\gamma(t))\ i\cdot\dot{\gamma}(t) = (f + h)(\gamma(t))\ \ i\cdot\dot{\gamma}(t)\ ,\ \forall t \in (0, T).$$

Hence $[0, T] \mapsto (\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))$ is an orbit segment of the perturbed magnetic flow ϕ^{f+h}_t, and we can define the map:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S_{T,\theta} : \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & Sp(1) \\ h & \longmapsto & d_\theta P_T(f + h). \end{array} \tag{12}$$

We are now ready to state the analog of the infinitesimal part of the Franks' lemma [13] for magnetic flows on surface. In [12], G. Contreras and G. Paternain proved a version of this lemma for geodesic flows on surfaces by performing C^2-perturbations of the metric which correspond to C^1-perturbations of the geodesic flow.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $f_0 \in C^\infty(M)$*,* $\frac{K}{2} < T \le K$ $(K = K(c, f_0))$ *and let* $\mathcal{U}$ *be a neighborhood of* f_0 *in the* C^1*-topology. Then there is* $\delta = \delta(\mathcal{U}, f_0, c) > 0$ *such that the image of the set* $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}(f_0, \theta, W, T)$ *under the map* $S_{T,\theta}$ *contains a ball of radius* δ *centered at* $S_{T,\theta}(f_0)$*. Moreover, if* $\gamma(t)$ *is a closed magnetic geodesic of minimal period* T_θ*, then there is a neighborhood* $U = U(\theta, f_0, c, W, T_\theta) \subset M$ *of* $\gamma([T, T_\theta])$ *such that the image of the set* $\mathcal{U} \cap \{f \in \mathcal{F};\ Supp(f - f_0) \subset W - U\}$ *under the map* $S_{T,\theta}$ *contains a ball of radius* δ *centered at* $S_{T,\theta}(f_0)$*.*

We will prove this theorem in the subsection 4.1. For this, we follow the strategy in the proof of the Franks' lemma for geodesic flows on surfaces given by G. Contreras and G. Paternain in [12].

We shall use theorem 4.1 on a finite number of segments of a closed magnetic geodesic $\gamma : [0, T_\theta] \to M$ (where T_θ denotes its minimal period) such that the perturbations will be independent in each segment.

Let $\gamma(t)$ be a closed magnetic geodesic of minimal period T_θ. We fix $t_0 \in (\frac{K}{2}, K]$ and $n = n(\theta) = n(T_\theta, t_0) \in I\!N$ such that $T_\theta = nt_0$. For each $0 \le i \le n-1$, we define $\gamma_i = \gamma(it_0 + t)$. Then , given a tubular neighborhood $W_i \subset M$ of $\gamma_i((0, t_0))$, we can define the map $S_{i,\theta} : \mathcal{F}(f_0, \theta, W_i) \to Sp(1)$ by $S_{i,\theta}(f) = d_{(\phi^f_{it_0}(\theta))}P(f, \Sigma_{it_0}, \Sigma_{(i+1)t_0})$, for each $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$.

Let W_0 be tubular neighborhood of the segment γ_0. Applying theorem 4.1 to the map $S_{0,\theta} : \mathcal{F}(f_0, \theta, c, W_0) \to Sp(1)$ we obtain $\delta_0 > 0$ and a neighborhood U_0 of the curve $\gamma_1 * .... * \gamma_{n-1}$ as in the second part of theorem 4.1. In the following step, we need to choose a tubular neighborhood $W_1 \subset U_0$ and applying again theorem 4.1 to the map $S_{1,\theta} : \mathcal{F}(f_0, \theta, c, W_1) \to Sp(1)$, we obtain $\delta_1 > 0$ and a neighborhood U_1 of $\gamma_2 * \dots * \gamma_{n-1}$. Proceeding in the same way, we obtain $\delta_i > 0$ and neighborhoods W_i, for $0 \le i \le n-1$. Hence, for $W = \cup_{i=0}^{n-1} W_i$, we can define

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S_\theta : \mathcal{F}(f_0, \theta, W) & \longrightarrow & \displaystyle\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} Sp(1) \\ f & \longmapsto & \displaystyle\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} d_{(\phi^f_{it_0}(\theta))} P(f, \Sigma_{it_0}, \Sigma_{(i+1)t_0}) \end{array}$$

Applying theorem 4.1 n times we have proved:

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\theta \in T^cM$ be such that $\phi_t^{f_0}(\theta)$ is a closed orbit. Let $W, n(\theta)$ and S_θ be defined as above. Given a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f_0 in the C^1 topology, there is $\delta = \delta(f_0, \mathcal{U}, c)$ such that the image of the set $\mathcal{F}(f_0, \theta, W) \cap \mathcal{U}$ under the map S_θ contains a product of balls of radius δ centered at $(S_{0,\theta}(f_0), \dots, S_{(n-1),\theta}(f_0)) \in \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} Sp(1)$.*

4.1. **Proof of theorem 4.1.** Let $\psi : (0,T) \times (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0) \to W$ be a coordinate system in $W \subset M$ such that $\psi(t,0) = \gamma(t)$ and $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right\}_{\gamma(t)} = \{\dot\gamma(t), i \cdot \dot\gamma(t)\}$, for all $t \in (0,T)$. Let $a : [-1,1] \to [-1,1]$ be a smooth function that satisfies:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{(i)} & a(0) = 0 & \text{(ii)} & \operatorname{Supp}(a) \subset [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \text{(ii)} & a'(0) = 1 & \text{(iii)} & \|a\|_{C^1} = 1 \\ \text{(iv)} & \int_{-1}^{1} a(x)dx = 0. & & \end{array}$$

We define the subset $\mathcal{H}$ of smooth functions $h : (0,T) \times (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0) \to I\!R$ such that $h(t,x) = a_{\epsilon_0}(x) b(t)$, where $a_{\epsilon_0}(x) = \epsilon_0 \, a\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon_0}\right)$ and $b \in C^\infty(I\!R)$ with $\operatorname{Supp}(b) \subset (0,T)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $h = a_{\epsilon_0}(x) b(t) \in \mathcal{H}$. Then:*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} & [h\Omega_0] = 0, \\ \text{(ii)} & \overline{f} = f + h \in \mathcal{F}\ , \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \text{and } \forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \\ \text{(iii)} & \|h\|_{C^1} \le 2\|b\|_{C^0} + \epsilon_0 \|b\|_{C^1}. \end{array}$$

Proof. (i) Note that if η is a 1-form in M with support in the neighborhood W defined by

$$\eta|_W = \left(- \int_{-1}^{x} a_{\epsilon_0}(x) b(t) \, ds \right) dt,$$

then $d\eta = h\Omega_0$.

(ii) It follows from (i) and the definition of the set $\mathcal{H}$.

(iii) If $g : I\!R^2 \to I\!R$ is a function that has enough differentiability, then:

$$\|g\|_{C^1} \le \sup_{x,t} |g(x,t)| + \sup_{x,t} \left| \frac{\partial g(x,t)}{\partial x} \right| + \sup_{x,t} \left| \frac{\partial g(x,t)}{\partial t} \right|.$$

Hence

$$\|h\|_{C^1} \le \sup_{x,t} |a_{\epsilon_0}(x) b(t)| + \sup_{x,t} |a'_{\epsilon_0}(x) b(t)| + \sup_{x,t} |a_{\epsilon_0}(x) b'(t)|.$$

Since $|a_{\epsilon_0}(x)| = \left|\epsilon_0 a(\frac{x}{\epsilon_0})\right| \le \epsilon_0 \|a\|_{C^0} \le \epsilon_0$, and $|a'_{\epsilon_0}(x)| = \left|a'(\frac{x}{\epsilon_0})\right| \le \|a\|_{C^1} = 1$, for all $x \in I\!R$, we have:

$$\|h\|_{C^1} \le (1 + \epsilon_0)\|b\|_{C^0} + \epsilon_0 \|b\|_{C^1} \le 2\|b\|_{C^0} + \epsilon_0 \|b\|_{C^1}.$$

□

We will now fix some constants and functions that will be useful in the following lemma. By changing $\mathcal{U}$ if necessary, we can suppose that $\|f\|_{c^0} \leq \|f_0\|_{c^0} + 1$, $\forall f \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore $K(c, f_0) \leq K(c, f)$, $\forall\ f \in \mathcal{U}$. Let us denote $K(c, f_0)$ by k_0 and set $k_1 = k_1(\mathcal{U}, c) > 1$, so that, if $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $X(t) = X(f, \theta, t)$ is a fundamental matrix for the equation (11), then

$$\|X(t)\| \leq k_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|X^{-1}(t)\| \leq k_1 \ \ , \forall t \in [0, k_0] \ \text{ and } \ \forall\ \theta \in T^cM. \tag{13}$$

Let $0 < \lambda << k_0/2$ and $k_2 = k_2(\mathcal{U}, \lambda, c) > 0$ be such that:

$$\max_{|t-k_0/2|\leq\lambda} \|X(t) - X(k_0/2)\| \leq k_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{|t-k_0/2|\leq\lambda} \|X^{-1}(t) - X^{-1}(k_0/2)\| \leq k_2\ , \tag{14}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\theta \in T^cM$. If $\lambda = \lambda(f_0, U, c)$ is small enough, we have:

$$0 < k_2 < \frac{1}{16\ k_1^3} < 1 < k_1. \tag{15}$$

Let δ_λ , $\Delta_\lambda : I\!\!R \to [0, \infty)$ be C^∞-approximations of the Dirac delta at the point $\frac{k_0}{2}$, such that: $\mathrm{Supp}(\delta_\lambda) \subset [\frac{k_0}{2} - \lambda, \frac{k_0}{2})$, $\mathrm{Supp}(\Delta_\lambda) \subset (\frac{k_0}{2}, \frac{k_0}{2} + \lambda]$, $\int \delta_\lambda dt = \int \Delta_\lambda dt = 1$ and $\mathrm{Supp}(\Delta_\lambda)$ is an interval. Let $k_3 = k_3(\lambda) = k_3(f_0, \mathcal{U}, c)$ be defined as:

$$k_3 = k_1^2 \left(\|\delta_\lambda\|_{C^0} + \|\delta_\lambda'\|_{C^0} + \|\Delta_\lambda\|_{C^0} \|K_{mag}(f_0, c)\|_{C^0} + \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta_\lambda''\|_{C^0} \right). \tag{16}$$

Let $0 < \rho < 1/(4k_1^2 k_3)$, by (15) we have:

$$\frac{1}{k_1^2} - k_3\rho - 4k_1k_2 > \frac{1}{2k_1^2}. \tag{17}$$

Finally, let $\alpha : [0, k_0] \to [0, 1]$ be a C^∞-approximation of the characteristic function of the set

$$[0, T] - \left[\gamma^{-1}\{\gamma((T, T_0))\} \cup \partial\mathrm{Supp}(\Delta_\lambda)\right]$$

such that

$$\int_0^T |\alpha(t) - 1| dt \leq \rho. \tag{18}$$

Lemma 4.3. *For an arbitrary small parameter s, let $h^s = a_{\epsilon_0}(x) b^s(t)\ \in \mathcal{H}$ be such that $b^{s=0} \equiv 0$ and*

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} b^s(t) = \alpha(t) \left\{ \delta_\lambda(t) a + \delta_\lambda'(t) b - \left(\Delta_\lambda(t) K_{mag}(f)(t) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_\lambda''(t) \right) c \right\} \tag{19}$$

where $a, b, c \in I\!\!R$, and $K_{mag}(f)(t)$ is the magnetic curvature of $f \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\left\| \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} S_{\theta,T}(f + h^s) \right\| > \frac{1}{2k_1^3} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} b & c \\ a & -b \end{bmatrix} \right\|$$

Proof. Observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mathrm{mag}}(f + h^s)(t) &= K_{\mathrm{mag}}(f)(t) - g_\gamma(\nabla h^s,\ i \cdot \dot{\gamma}) = \\ &= K_{\mathrm{mag}}(f)(t) - \frac{\partial h^s(0, t)}{\partial x} = K_{\mathrm{mag}}(f)(t) - b^s(t). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

We define $Z_h(T) = \frac{d}{ds}\big|_{s=0} d_\theta P_T(f + h^s)$. Since $b^{s=0} \equiv 0$, it follows from [27, lemma 3.1] that

$$Z_h(T) = X^0(T) \int_0^T \left(X^0(t)\right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} b^s(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} X^0(t)\ dt. \tag{21}$$

From (19) and integration by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z(T) &= X(T)\left\{\int_0^T \alpha(t)\delta_\lambda(t)X^{-1}(t)\begin{bmatrix} b & 0\\ a & -b\end{bmatrix} X(t)\;dt+\right.\\ &+ \left.\int_0^T \alpha(t)\Delta_\lambda(t)X^{-1}(t)\begin{bmatrix} 0 & c\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix} X(t)\;dt\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

We will write

$$P(t)=\frac{1}{\alpha(t)}\left(\left.\frac{d}{ds}\right|_{s=0} b^s(t)\right)X^{-1}(t)\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0\end{bmatrix}X(t),$$

$$Q_1(t)=X^{-1}(t)\begin{bmatrix} b & 0\\ a & -b\end{bmatrix}X(t)\;,\qquad Q_2=X^{-1}(t)\begin{bmatrix} 0 & c\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}X(t)$$

and $Q_0:=Q_1+Q_2$. Then

$$\int_0^T P(t)\;dt=\int_0^T \delta_\lambda(t)Q_1(t)\;dt+\int_0^T \Delta_\lambda(t)Q_2(t)\;dt. \tag{22}$$

Using (13), we obtain:

$$\|\delta_\lambda(t)Q_1(t)\|\le\|\delta_\lambda\|_{C^0}\|Q_1(t)\|\le\|\delta_\lambda\|_{C^0}\;k_1^2\left\|\begin{bmatrix} b & 0\\ a & -b\end{bmatrix}\right\|,\quad\text{and}$$

$$\|\Delta_\lambda(t)Q_2(t)\|\le\|\Delta_\lambda\|_{C^0}\|Q_2(t)\|\le\|\Delta_\lambda\|_{C^0}\;k_1^2\left\|\begin{bmatrix} 0 & c\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\right\|.$$

By (16), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{t\in[0,T]}\|P(t)\| &\le \left[|a|\|\delta_\lambda\|_{C^0}+|b|\|\delta'_\lambda\|_{C^0}+\left(\|\Delta_\lambda\|_{C^0}\|K_{mag}(f_0)\|_{C^0}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta''_\lambda\|_{C^0}\right)|c|\right]\;k_1^2\\ &\le k_3\left\|\begin{bmatrix} b & c\\ a & -b\end{bmatrix}\right\|. \end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

For each $F:[0,T]\to I\!R^{2\times 2}$, we define:

$$\mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(F,k_0/2\right)=\max_{|t-k_0/2|\le\lambda}\|F(t)-F\left(k_0/2\right)\|\quad .$$

Observe that if $F,G:[0,T]\to I\!R^{2\times 2}$ and $E\in I\!R^{2\times 2}$ is a constant matrix then:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(F\;G,k_0/2\right) &\le \max_{|t-k_0/2|\le\lambda}\|F(t)G(t)-F\left(t\right)G\left(k_0/2\right)\|+\\ &+ \max_{|t-k_0/2|\le\lambda}\|F(t)G\left(k_0/2\right)-F\left(k_0/2\right)G\left(k_0/2\right)\|\le\\ &\le \max_{|t-k_0/2|\le\lambda}\|F(t)\|\,\mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(G,k_0/2\right)+\mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(F,k_0/2\right)\|G\left(k_0/2\right)\|\\ \mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(E\;F,k_0/2\right) &= \max_{|t-k_0/2|\le\lambda}\|E\;F(t)-E\;F\left(k_0/2\right)\|\le\\ &\le \|E\|\;\mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(F,k_0/2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Write $A=\begin{bmatrix} b & c\\ a & -b\end{bmatrix}$. By (13), (14) and the two inequalities above, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(Q_0,k_0/2\right)=\mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(X^{-1}(t)AX(t),k_0/2\right)\le\\ \le\;& \max_{|t-k_0/2|\le\lambda}\left\|X^{-1}(t)\right\|\mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(AX(t),k_0/2\right)+\mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(X^{-1}(t),k_0/2\right)\|A\|\;\|X\left(k_0/2\right)\|\le\\ \le\;& \max_{|t-k_0/2|\le\lambda}\left\|X^{-1}(t)\right\|\|A\|\mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(X(t),k_0/2\right)+\mathcal{O}_\lambda\left(X^{-1}(t),k_0/2\right)\|A\|\;\|X\left(k_0/2\right)\|\le\\ \le\;& 2k_1\;k_2\|A\|. \end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

By (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_0^T \alpha(t)P(t)\ dt - Q_0\left(k_0/2\right) \right\| &= \left\| \int_0^T \alpha(t)P(t)dt - \int_0^T P(t)dt + \int_0^T P(t)dt - Q_0\left(k_0/2\right) \right\| \leq \\
&\leq \left\| \int_0^T (\alpha(t)-1)P\ dt \right\| + \left\| \int_0^T \delta_\lambda(t)Q_1(t) - Q_1(k_0/2) + \Delta_\lambda(t)Q_2 - Q_2(k_0/2)\ dt \right\| \leq \\
&\leq \int_0^T \|(\alpha(t)-1)P(t)\|\ dt + \mathcal{O}_\lambda(Q_1, k_0/2) + \mathcal{O}_\lambda(Q_2, k_0/2) \leq \\
&\leq \left(\max_{[0,T]} \|P(t)\| \right) \int_0^T |(\alpha(t)-1)|dt + 2\mathcal{O}_\lambda(Q_0, k_0/2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Then (23), (24) and (18) imply that

$$\left\| \int_0^T \alpha(t)P(t)\ dt - Q_0\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right) \right\| \leq (k_3\ \rho + 4\ k_1\ k_2)\|A\|. \tag{25}$$

From the equality $A = X(k_0/2)\ Q_0(k_0/2)\ X^{-1}(k_0/2)$ and (13), we have

$$\|A\| = \|X(k_0/2)\ Q_0(k_0/2)\ X^{-1}(k_0/2)\| \leq k_1^2 \|Q_0(k_0/2)\| \implies$$

$$\implies \|Q_0(k_0/2)\| \geq \frac{\|A\|}{k_1^2}. \tag{26}$$

Hence, using (25), (26) and (15)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_0^T \alpha(t)P(t)\ dt \right\| &= \left\| Q_0\left(k_0/2\right) + \int_0^T \alpha(t)P(t)\ dt - Q_0\left(k_0/2\right) \right\| \geq \\
&\geq \|Q_0\left(k_0/2\right)\| - \left\| \int_0^T \alpha(t)P(t)\ dt - Q_0\left(k_0/2\right) \right\| \geq \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{k_1^2} - k_3\ \rho - 4\ k_1\ k_2 \right) \|A\| \geq \frac{1}{2k_1^2}\|A\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the last inequality and (13) imply

$$k_1\|Z(T)\| \geq \|X^{-1}Z(T)\| = \left\| \int_0^T \alpha(t)P(t)\ dt \right\| \geq \frac{1}{2k_1^2}\|A\|.$$

Therefore

$$\|Z(T)\| \geq \frac{1}{2k_1^3}\|A\| \ \ ,\ \forall f \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}.$$

□

We denote by $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ the Lie algebra of the classical Lie group $Sp(1) = SL(2)$. For each matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} b & c \\ a & -b \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)$, let $\beta_A : (0,T) \to I\!R$ be defined as:

$$\beta_A(t) = \alpha(t)\left(\delta_\lambda(t)a + \delta_\lambda'(t)b\right) + \left(K_{mag}(f_0)(t) + \frac{\Delta_\lambda''}{2\Delta_\lambda(t)} \right) \left(e^{-\alpha(t)\Delta_\lambda(t)c} - 1\right).$$

We consider $G : \mathfrak{sp}(1) \to C^\infty(M)$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}(G(A)) \subset W$ and

$$G(A)|_W = G(A)(t,x) = f_0(x,t) + a_{\epsilon_0}(x)\beta_A(t) \tag{27}$$

in the tubular coordinates (t,x) in W.

Lemma 4.4. *For ϵ_0 small enough, there is $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\mathcal{U}, f_0, c)$, such that, if $\|A\| < \delta_1$ then $G(A) \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. By lemma 4.2, we have that

$$\|G(A) - f_0\|_{C^1} = \|a_{\epsilon_0}(x)\beta_A(t)\|_{C^1} \leq 2\|\beta_A\|_{C^0} + \epsilon_0\|\beta_A\|_{C^1}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $B_{C^1}(f_0, \epsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}$, where $B_{C^1}(f_0, \epsilon)$ denotes the C^1-ball of radius ϵ centered at f_0. Since $\Delta_\lambda > 0$ for all $t \in \operatorname{Supp}(\alpha)$, there is $k_6 = k_6(\lambda, f_0) = k_6(f_0, \mathcal{U}, c) < \infty$ such that

$$k_6 = \max_{\|A\| \leq 1} \|\beta_A\|_{C^1}.$$

If ϵ_0 is small enough, we can suppose $\epsilon_0 < \frac{\epsilon}{2k_6}$.

We consider $k_5 = k_5(\lambda, f_0) = k_5(f_0, \mathcal{U}, c)$ given by:

$$k_5 = \|\delta_\lambda\|_{C^0} + \|\delta'_\lambda\|_{C^0} + \left(\|K_{mag}(f_0)(t)\|_{C^0}\|\Delta_\lambda(t)\|_{C^0} + \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta''_\lambda\|_{C^0}\right) e^{\|\Delta_\lambda\|_{C^0}}.$$

Observe that, if $|c| \leq 1$ then

$$|e^{-\alpha\Delta_\lambda c} - 1| \leq |c| \max_{|c|\leq 1}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial c}\left(e^{-\alpha\Delta_\lambda c} - 1\right)\right| \leq |c|\Delta_\lambda e^{\|\Delta_\lambda\|_{C^0}}$$

and therefore

$$\left|K_{mag}(f_0)(t) + \frac{\Delta''_\lambda}{2\Delta_\lambda(t)}\right| |e^{-\alpha(t)\Delta_\lambda(t)c} - 1| \leq |c| \left(|K_{mag}(f_0)(t)|\Delta_\lambda(t) + \frac{1}{2}|\Delta''_\lambda|\right) e^{\|\Delta_\lambda\|_{C^0}}.$$

Hence, if $\|A\| \leq 1$ then

$$\|\beta_A\|_{C^0} \leq k_5\|A\|.$$

Choose $\delta_1 = \delta_1(f_0, \mathcal{U}, c) < 1$ such that $2k_5\delta_1 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then

$$\|G(A) - f_0\| \leq 2k_5\|A\| + \epsilon_0 k_6 < \epsilon,$$

for all $\|A\| < \delta_1$.

□

A proof of the following general lemma can be seen in [12].

Lemma 4.5. [12, lemma 4.4] *Let N be a n-dimensional smooth manifold and let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to N$ be a sufficiently differentiable map such that*

$$\|d_xF \cdot v\| \geq a > 0 \quad \forall\ (x, v) \in T\mathbb{R}^n \quad \textit{with } \|v\| \leq 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \|x\| \leq r.$$

Then for all $0 < b < a\ r$

$$\{p \in N;\ d(p, F(0)) < b\} \ \subset\ F(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n;\ \|x\| \leq \frac{b}{a}\}.$$

We consider a 3-parameter family of maps $\{G(A);\ A \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)\}$ given in (27). Observe that

$$\frac{\partial\beta_A}{\partial a} = \alpha(t)\delta_\lambda(t) \quad , \quad \frac{\partial\beta_A}{\partial b} = \alpha(t)\delta'_\lambda(t)$$

and since $\delta_\lambda(t)\ \Delta_\lambda(t) \equiv 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\beta_A}{\partial c} \quad &+ \quad \alpha(t)\Delta_\lambda(t)\beta_A(t) = -\alpha(t)\Delta\lambda(t)\left(K_{mag}(f_0)(t) + \frac{\Delta''_\lambda}{2\Delta_\lambda(t)}\right) e^{-\alpha(t)\Delta_\lambda(t)c} + \\
&+ \quad \alpha(t)\Delta_\lambda(t)\left(K_{mag}(f_0)(t) + \frac{\Delta''_\lambda}{2\Delta_\lambda(t)}\right)(e^{-\alpha(t)\Delta_\lambda(t)c} - 1) = \\
&= \quad -\alpha(t)\left(K_{mag}(f_0)(t)\Delta_\lambda(t) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta''_\lambda(t)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial \beta_A}{\partial c} = -\alpha(t)\left\{\left[K_{mag}(f_0)(t) + \beta_A(t)\right]\Delta_\lambda(t) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_\lambda(t)''\right\}.$$

Then, by (20), we have:

$$\frac{\partial \beta_A}{\partial c} = -\alpha(t)\left\{(K_{mag}(G(A))(t)\Delta_\lambda(t) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta''_\lambda(t)\right\}.$$

Therefore, the directional derivative of $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \ni A \mapsto \beta_A$ satisfies (19).

Consider $F : \mathfrak{sp}(1) \to Sp(1)$, given by :

$$F(A) = S_{\theta,T} \circ G(A).$$

It follows from lemmas 4.4 and 4.2 that there is $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\mathcal{U}, f_0, c) > 0$ such that $G(A) \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}(f_0, \theta, W, T_0)$, for all $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)$, with $\|A\| < \delta_1$. Since $\alpha(t) = 0$ in a neighborhood of the intersection points of $\gamma([0,T])$ with $\gamma([T,T_0])$, for ϵ_0 small enough, there is a neighborhood U of $\gamma([T,T_0])$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}(G(A)) \cap U = \emptyset$, for all $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)$.

By lemma 4.3, we have that

$$\|d_B F \cdot A\| \geq \frac{1}{k_1^3} > 0 \ , \quad \text{for all } \ \|B\| < \delta_1 \ \text{ and } \ \|A\| \leq 1$$

and applying lemma 4.5 to F, with $r = \delta_1$ and $a = \frac{1}{2k_1^3}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{Sp(1)}(S_{\theta,T}(f_0), \delta_1/2k_1^3) &\subset F\left(\{A \in \mathfrak{sp}(1);\ \|A\| < \delta_1\}\right) = \\ &= S_{\theta,T}\left(\{G(A) \in \mathcal{F};\ \|A\| < \delta_1\}\right) \subset \\ &\subset S_{\theta,T}\left(\mathcal{U} \cap \{f \in \mathcal{F};\ \ \mathrm{Supp}(f - f_0) \subset W - U\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

This inclusion proves the theorem.

5. Magnetic flow with infinitely many closed orbits in an energy level

Let (M,g) be a smooth closed and oriented riemannian surface. For each $c > 0$, let $\mathcal{R}^1(M,c)$ be the set of $\Omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ such that all closed orbits of ϕ_t^Ω in T^cM are hyperbolic endowed with the C^1-topology. Given $h \in H^2(M, I\!R)$, we define $\mathcal{F}^1(M,c,h) \subset \mathcal{R}^1(M,c)$ as:

$$\mathcal{F}^1(M,c,h) = \{C^1\text{-interior of } \mathcal{R}^1(M,c)\} \cap \{[\Omega] = h\ \}.$$

Given $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^1(M,c,h)$, let $Per(\Omega,c) \subset T^cM$ be the union of all hyperbolic periodic orbits of minimal period of $\phi_t^\Omega\big|_{T^cM}$. By definition, $\overline{Per(\Omega,c)} \subset T^cM$ is a compact and invariant subset.

We recall that a compact and invariant subset $\Gamma \subset T^cM$ is a *hyperbolic set* if there exists a splitting (continuous) of $T_\Gamma(T^cM) = E^s \oplus E^u \oplus E^c$, where $E^c = \langle X^\Omega \rangle$ and there are constants $C > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, such that:

(a) $d\phi_t^\Omega(E^{s,u}) = E^{s,u}$
(b) $|d_\theta \phi_t^\Omega(\xi)| \leq C\lambda^t|\xi|\ ,\ \ \forall\ t > 0,\ \theta \in \Gamma,\ \xi \in E^s$,
(c) $|d_\theta \phi_{-t}^\Omega(\xi)| \leq C\lambda^t|\xi|\ ,\ \ \forall\ t > 0,\ \theta \in \Gamma,\ \xi \in E^u$.

Using Mañé's techniques on dominated sprinting [21] and a version of Franks' lemma for magnetic flows (corollary 4.1), we will prove that:

Theorem 5.1. *If* $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^1(M,c,[\Omega])$, *then* $\overline{Per(\Omega,c)} \subset T^cM$ *is a hyperbolic set.*

A hyperbolic set is called *locally maximal*, if there is an open neighborhood U of Γ, such that Γ is the maximal invariant subset of U, i.e., $\Gamma = \bigcap_{t \in I\!R} \phi_t^\Omega(U)$. A *hyperbolic basic set* is a maximal hyperbolic set with a dense orbit and we say *non-trivial* when it is not a single closed orbit. It is well known that a non-trivial hyperbolic basic set has positive topological entropy (cf. [3]).

Standard arguments of dynamical systems [19, §6] imply that the set $\overline{Per(\Omega, c)}$ is locally maximal, for all $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^1(M, c, [\Omega])$. Hence, it follows by Smale's Spectral Decomposition Theorem [33] (see too [19]) that:

Corollary 5.1. *Let* $c > 0$ *and* $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^1(M, c, [\Omega])$. *We suppose that the number of periodic orbits of minimal period for the magnetic flow* $\phi_t^\Omega\big|_{T^cM}$ *is infinite. Then* $\overline{Per(\Omega, c)}$ *has a non-trivial basic set. In particular* $\phi_t^\Omega\big|_{T^cM}$ *has positive topological entropy.*

In the following two subsections we will recall some definitions and statements that we will need to prove the theorem 5.1.

5.1. **Periodic sequences of linear symplectic maps.** A linear map $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is *hyperbolic* if T has not eigenvalue of norm equal to 1. The *stable and unstable subspaces of* T are defined as

$$E^s(T) = \left\{v \in \mathbb{R}^n;\ \lim_{n\to\infty} T^n(v) = 0\right\} \ \text{ and } \ E^u(T) = \left\{v \in \mathbb{R}^n;\ \lim_{n\to\infty} T^{-n}(v) = 0\right\},$$

respectively.

Let $GL(n)$ be the group of linear isomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We say that a sequence $\xi : \mathbb{Z} \to GL(n)$ is *periodic* if there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\xi_{i+n_0} = \xi_i$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that a periodic sequence ξ is *hyperbolic* if the linear map $\prod_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \xi_i$ is hyperbolic. In this case, we denote the stable and unstable subspaces of $\prod_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \xi_{j+i}$ by $E_j^s(\xi)$ and $E_j^u(\xi)$, respectively.

Given two families of periodic sequences $\xi^{(\alpha)} = \{\xi^{(\alpha)} : \mathbb{Z} \to GL(n);\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\eta^{(\alpha)} = \{\eta^{(\alpha)} : \mathbb{Z} \to GL(n);\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$, we define:

$$d(\xi^{(\alpha)}, \eta^{(\alpha)}) = \sup\{\|\xi_n^{(\alpha)} - \eta_n^{(\alpha)}\|;\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We say that two periodic families in $GL(n)$ are *periodically equivalent* if they have the same index set $\mathcal{A}$ and the minimal period of $\xi^{(\alpha)}$ and $\eta^{(\alpha)}$ coincide, for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. We say that a family $\xi^{(\alpha)}$ is a *periodic hyperbolic family* if every sequence in $\xi^{(\alpha)}$ is hyperbolic. Finally , we say that a periodic hyperbolic sequence $\xi^{(\alpha)}$ is *stably hyperbolic* if there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that any family $\eta^{(\alpha)}$ periodically equivalent to $\xi^{(\alpha)}$ satisfying $d(\xi^{(\alpha)}, \eta^{(\alpha)}) < \epsilon$ is hyperbolic.

We are now ready to state the following result.

Theorem 5.2. (Mañé, [21, lemma II.3]) *Let* $\xi^{(\alpha)} = \{\xi^{(\alpha)} : \mathbb{Z} \to GL(n);\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ *be a stably hyperbolic family of periodic sequences in* $GL(n)$. *Then there exist constants* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $0 < \lambda < 1$, *such that, for all* $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ *and* $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, *we have:*

$$\left\| \left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \xi_{i+j}^{(\alpha)}\right)\Bigg|_{E_j^s(\xi^{(\alpha)})} \right\| \cdot \left\| \left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \xi_{i+j}^{(\alpha)}\right)^{-1}\Bigg|_{E_{j+m}^u(\xi^{(\alpha)})} \right\| \le \lambda.$$

In [12], G. Contreras and G. Paternain proved that if a family of periodic hyperbolic sequences $\xi^{(\alpha)}$ in $Sp(1)$ is stably hyperbolic among the periodic sequences in $Sp(1)$ and $\sup_\alpha \|\xi^{(\alpha)}\| < \infty$, then $\xi^{(\alpha)}$ is also stably hyperbolic among the periodic sequences in $GL(2)$.

Corollary 5.2. ([12, corollary 5.2]) *Let* $\xi^{(\alpha)} = \{\xi^{(\alpha)} : \mathbb{Z} \to Sp(1);\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ *be a family of periodic hyperbolic sequences which is stably hyperbolic in* $Sp(1)$, *and* $\sup_\alpha \|\xi(\alpha)\| < \infty$. *Then there exist constants* $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ *and* $0 < \lambda < 1$, *such that, for all* $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ *and* $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, *we have:*

$$\left\| \left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \xi_{i+j}^{(\alpha)}\right)\Bigg|_{E_j^s(\xi^{(\alpha)})} \right\| \cdot \left\| \left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \xi_{i+j}^{(\alpha)}\right)^{-1}\Bigg|_{E_{j+m}^u(\xi^{(\alpha)})} \right\| \le \lambda.$$

Remark 5.1. Let $T_j^N = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \xi_{i+j}^{(\alpha)}$. Using that $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \, \|B\|$, for all $A, B \in GL(n)$, we have that

$$\left\| T_j^{mN}\big|_{E_j^s(\xi^{(\alpha)})} \right\| \cdot \left\| \left(T_j^{mN}\right)^{-1}\big|_{E_{j+mN}^u(\xi^{(\alpha)})} \right\| \leq \lambda^N,$$

for all $N > 1$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5.2. **Partially hyperbolic symplectic action.** A *symplectic vector bundle* $\pi : E \to B$ is a vector bundle such that the transition maps preserve the canonical symplectic structure in the fibres $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Let $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \to Sp(E)$ be a continuous action that preserves the fiber and satisfies $\Psi_{s+t} = \Psi_s \circ \Psi_t$. The action Ψ induces a flow $\psi_t : B \to B$ such that $\psi_t \circ \pi = \pi \circ \Psi_t$.

We say that an action Ψ is *partially hyperbolic*, if there is an invariant splitting $E = S \oplus U$, $T > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, such that:

$$\left\| \Psi_T\big|_{S(b)} \right\| \cdot \left\| \Psi_{-T}\big|_{S(\psi_t(b))} \right\| \leq \lambda \ , \quad \forall\, b \in B, \tag{28}$$

and we say that Ψ is *hyperbolic* if there is a splitting $E = E^s \oplus E^u$, $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, such that:

$$\begin{aligned} &(1) \quad |\Psi_t(\xi)| \leq Ce^{-\lambda t}|\xi| \ , \quad \forall\, t > 0, \ \xi \in E^s, \\ &(2) \quad |\Psi_{-t}(\xi)| \leq Ce^{-\lambda t}|\xi| \ , \quad \forall\, t > 0, \ \xi \in E^u. \end{aligned}$$

The domination condition (28), implies that the decomposition $E = S \oplus U$ is continuous. By definition, a hyperbolic action is partially hyperbolic. The converse is not true in general, but in the symplectic case we have:

Theorem 5.3. ([7, corollary 1]) *A symplectic partially hyperbolic action, with* $\dim(S) = \dim(U)$ *and compact base B, is hyperbolic.*

5.3. **Proof of theorem 5.1.** We fix $c > 0$. Let $U \subset T^cM$ be an open set and $\mathcal{R}^1(U)$ be the set of 2-forms Ω on M such that all closed orbits of ϕ_t^Ω completely contained in U are hyperbolic, endowed with the C^1-topology. Given $h \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$, we consider the subset

$$\mathcal{F}^1(U, h) = \{C^1\text{-interior of } \mathcal{R}^1(U)\} \cap \{\Omega \in \Omega^2(M); \ [\Omega] = h \ \}.$$

Given $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^1(U)$, let $Per(\Omega, U)$ be the union of all periodic hyperbolic orbits of minimal period for the flow $\phi_t^\Omega\big|_{T^cM}$ completely contained in U.

The following proposition is a local version that implies theorem 5.1.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^1(U, [\Omega])$. Then $\overline{Per(\Omega, U)} \subset T^cM$ is a hyperbolic set.*

Proof. For each $\theta = (x, v) \in T^cM$, let $\mathcal{N}(\theta) \subset T_\theta T^cM$ be defined as:

$$\mathcal{N}(\theta) = \{\xi \in T_\theta T^cM; \ g_x(d\pi(\xi), v) = 0\}.$$

We recall that $T_\theta T^cM = \mathcal{N}(\theta) \oplus \langle X^\Omega(\theta) \rangle$ and the restriction of the twisted symplectic form $\omega_\theta(\Omega)$ in $\mathcal{N}(\theta)$ is non-degenerate (section 4). Let $K = K(\Omega, c)$ be given by lemma 4.1. Given $\phi_t^\Omega(\theta) = (\gamma(t), \dot\gamma(t)) \in Per(\Omega, U)$, we denote its minimal period by T_θ. Let $n = n(\theta, \Omega) \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $T_\theta = n \ t_0$, for some $t_0 \in (\frac{K}{2}, K]$. For each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we define the segment $\gamma_i = \gamma(it_0 + t)$. Let $\Sigma_i \in TU$ be the local transversal sections at $\phi_{it_0}^\Omega(\theta)$ such that $T_{\phi_{it_0}^\Omega(\theta)}\Sigma_i = \mathcal{N}(\phi_{it_0}^\Omega(\theta))$. We denote by

$$S_{i,\theta} = dP(\Omega, \Sigma_i, \Sigma_{(i+1)}) : \mathcal{N}(\phi_{it_0}^\Omega(\theta)) \to \mathcal{N}(\phi_{(i+1)t_0}^\Omega(\theta))$$

the linearized Poincaré maps.

Since $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^1(U, [\Omega])$, there is a C^1-neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \{\overline{\Omega} \in \Omega^2(M); \ [\overline{\Omega}] = [\Omega]\}$ of Ω such that each orbit of $Per(\Omega, U)$ has a hyperbolic analytic continuation, because otherwise we could produce a non-hyperbolic orbit. Observe that if $\overline{\Omega} \in \mathcal{U}$, denoting by $\overline{\theta}_t = \overline{\theta}_t(\overline{\Omega})$ the analytic continuation of

$\theta_t = \phi_t^{\Omega}(\theta)$, then $\overline{\theta}_t$ intersects the sections Σ_i, $0 \leq i \leq n(\theta)$. Therefore, we can cut $\overline{\theta}_t$ into the same number of segments as θ_t. Hence the family

$$\xi(\overline{\Omega}) = \Big\{ S_{i,\overline{\theta}_t}(\overline{\Omega}) \;;\;\; \phi_t^{\Omega}(\theta) \in Per(\Omega, U) \;\text{ and }\; 0 \leq i \leq n = n(\theta, \Omega) \Big\}, \tag{29}$$

with $\overline{\Omega} \in \mathcal{U}$ is a periodic equivalent family.

The following lemma is a consequence of corollary 4.1.

Lemma 5.1. *If $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^1(U, [\Omega])$, then $\xi(\Omega)$ is stably hyperbolic.*

Proof. Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^1(U, [\Omega])$ and let $\mathcal{U} \subset \{\overline{\Omega} \in \Omega^2(M);\; [\overline{\Omega}] = [\Omega]\}$ a C^1-neighborhood of Ω such that, for all $\overline{\Omega} \in \mathcal{U}$, the family $\xi(\overline{\Omega})$ is hyperbolic. Let $\delta(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, c) > 0$ be given by corollary 4.1. We suppose that the family

$$\xi(\Omega) = \{S_i(\theta_t) = S_{i,\theta} : \mathcal{N}(\theta_{it_0}) \to \mathcal{N}(\theta_{(i+1)t_0});\; \theta_t \in Per(\Omega, U),\; 0 \leq i \leq n(\theta_t)\}$$

is not stably hyperbolic. Then there exist a closed orbit $\theta_t \in Per(\Omega, U)$ and a sequence of linear symplectic maps $\eta_i : \mathcal{N}(\theta_{it_0}) \to \mathcal{N}(\theta_{(i+1)t_0})$ such that:

$$\|S_i(\theta_t) - \eta_i\| > \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{i=0}^{n(\theta_t)} \eta_i \;\text{ is not hyperbolic.}$$

Observe that the perturbation space in the Franks' lemma (theorem 4.1) preserves the selected orbit θ_t. By corollary 4.1, there is a 2-form $\overline{\Omega} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that θ_t is a closed orbit of $\phi_t^{\overline{\Omega}}$ too, and $S_{i,\theta}(\overline{\Omega}) = \eta_i$. Since

$$d_{\theta_0} P(\overline{\Omega}, \Sigma_0, \Sigma_0) = \prod_{i=0}^{n(\theta_t)} S_{i,\theta}(\overline{\Omega}) = \prod_{i=0}^{n(\theta_t)} \eta_i,$$

the orbit θ_t is not hyperbolic for the magnetic flow $\phi_t^{\overline{\Omega}}$. This contradicts the choice of $\mathcal{U}$.

□

Applying corollary 5.2 and remark 5.1, if it is necessary, we have that there are $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $T > 0$ such that:

$$\left\| d_\theta P_T|_{E^s(\theta)} \right\| \cdot \left\| d_{\theta_T} P_{-T}|_{E^u(\theta_T)} \right\| \leq \lambda, \tag{30}$$

for all $\theta_t = \phi_t^{\Omega}(\theta) \in Per(\Omega, U)$, where $P_T = P(\Omega, \Sigma_0, \Sigma_T)$.

Let $\Gamma(U) = \overline{Per(\Omega, U)}$. For each point $\theta \in \Gamma(U)$, we define:

$$S(\theta) := \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{N}(\theta) \;;\; \begin{array}{cc} \exists\, \{\theta_n\} \subset Per(\Omega, U), & \text{with } \lim_n \theta_n = \theta \text{ and} \\ \exists\, \xi_n \in E^s(\theta_n), & \text{such that, } \lim_n \xi_n = \xi \end{array} \right\}$$

$$U(\theta) := \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{N}(\theta) \;;\; \begin{array}{cc} \exists\, \{\theta_n\} \subset Per(\Omega, U), & \text{with } \lim_n \theta_n = \theta \text{ and} \\ \exists\, \xi_n \in E^u(\theta_n), & \text{such that, } \lim_n \xi_n = \xi \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then the uniform domination condition (30) implies that $S \oplus U$ is a continuous splitting of $\mathcal{N}|_{\Gamma(U)}$ and

$$\left\| d_\theta P_T|_{S(\theta)} \right\| \cdot \left\| d_{\theta_T} P_{-T}|_{U(\theta_T)} \right\| \leq \lambda, \tag{31}$$

for all points $\theta \in \Gamma(U)$.

Since $dP_{s+t} = dP_s \circ dP_t$ and (31), we have that $dP : \mathcal{N}|_{\Gamma(U)} \to \mathcal{N}|_{\Gamma(U)}$ is a partially hyperbolic symplectic action. The continuity of the subbundles S and U and their definitions imply that $S(\theta) = E^s(\theta)$ and $U(\theta) = E^u(\theta)$, for all $\theta \in Per(\Omega, U)$. Hence $\dim S = \dim U = 1$. By theorem 5.3, dP is a hyperbolic symplectic action in $\mathcal{N}|_{\Gamma(U)}$.

Let $\Lambda(\theta) : \mathcal{N}(\theta) \oplus \langle X^{\Omega}(\theta)\rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(\theta)$ be the canonical projection. Observe that, by equality (10), we have:

$$dP = \Lambda \circ d\phi^{\Omega}\big|_{\mathcal{N}|_{\Gamma(U)}}.$$

Its follows from the graph transform method of Hirsch-Pugh-Shub in [16], that the hyperbolicity of the action dP in $\mathcal{N}|_{\Gamma(U)}$ implies that $\Gamma(U)$ is a hyperbolic set for the flow ϕ_t^{Ω}.

□

5.4. **Proof of theorem 1.2.** Suppose $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^1(M, c, [\Omega])$. By corollary 5.1, the flow $\phi_t^{\Omega}\big|_{T^cM}$ has positive topological entropy. Let $\Omega \notin \mathcal{F}^1(M, c, [\Omega])$. Then there is $d\overline{\eta} \in \Omega^2(M)$ C^1-arbitrarily close to $0 \in \Omega^2(M)$ such that $\phi_t^{(\Omega+d\overline{\eta})}\Big|_{T^cM}$ has a non-hyperbolic closed orbit. Applying the theorem 5.1, we obtain an exact 2-form $d\eta \in \Omega^2(M)$, C^1-arbitrarily close to $0 \in \Omega^2(M)$, such that $\phi_t^{(\Omega+d\overline{\eta}+d\eta)}\Big|_{T^cM}$ has positive topological entropy.

Acknowledgements

This paper is a part of my PhD-thesis work held at CIMAT-México under the guidance of the Profs. Renato Iturriaga and Gonzalo Contreras. I am very grateful for their assistance. I thank CAPES-Brazil and Fundep-UFMG for financial support. I would like to thank the referees for the many comments and suggestions that contributed for the improvement of this exposition.

Appendix A. Magnetic Flows on the bidimensional torus

A.1. Convex and superlinear autonomous lagrangians.

In this section, we will recall the main results for convex and superlinear autonomous lagrangian systems on closed manifolds such as the concepts introduced by Mather in [25] and Mañé in [23].

Let M be a closed smooth manifold of arbitrary dimension. Let $L : TM \to I\!\!R$ be a smooth lagrangian that is convex and superlinear , i.e., for each fibre T_xM, the restriction $L(x, \cdot)$ has positive definite Hessian and $\lim_{\|v\|\to\infty} \frac{L(x,v)}{\|v\|} = \infty$, uniformly in $x \in M$. The *action* of L over an absolutely continuous curve $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ is defined as:

$$A_L(\gamma) = \int_a^b L(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t).$$

The extremal points of the action are given by solutions of the *Euler-Lagrange equations* that in local coordinates can be written as:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}.$$

Since the lagrangian L is convex, the Euler-Lagrange equations define a complete vector field in TM that is denoted by X_L. We define the *Euler-Lagrange flow* $\phi_t(L) : TM \to TM$ as the flow associated to X_L.

Let us recall the main concepts introduced by Mather in [25], where details and proofs (for periodic lagrangians) can be found. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the space of all probability measure with compact support in the Borel σ-algebra of TM with the weak topology. We denote by $\mathcal{M}(L) \subset \mathcal{M}$ the subset of all invariant probability measures. We define the *average action* $\mathcal{A}_L : \mathcal{M}(L) \to I\!\!R$ by $\mathcal{A}_L(\mu) = \int_{TM} L\, d\mu$. Given $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L)$, there is a unique homology class $\rho(\mu) \in H_1(M, I\!\!R)$ such that $\langle \rho(\mu), [\omega]\rangle = \int_{TM} \omega\, d\mu$, for any closed 1-form ω on M . For each $h \in H_1(M, I\!\!R)$, we define $\mathcal{M}(h) = \mathcal{M}(L, h) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L)\ ;\ \ \rho(\mu) = h\}$. The *minimal action function* $\beta : H_1(M, I\!\!R) \to I\!\!R$ is defined by $\beta(h) = \min\{\mathcal{A}_L(\mu)\ ;\ \ \rho(\mu) = h\ \}$. This function is convex and superlinear. An invariant measure μ that satisfies $\mathcal{A}_L(\mu) = \beta(\rho(\mu))$ is called a $\rho(\mu)$-*minimizing measure.* We define the α function as the convex dual of β, i.e. $\alpha = \beta^* : H^1(M, I\!\!R) \to I\!\!R$ is given by $\alpha([\omega]) = \sup_{h\in H_1(M,I\!\!R)}\{< [\omega], h > -\beta(h)\}$. By convex duality, we have that α is also convex and superlinear, and $\alpha^* = \beta$.

Moreover, a measure μ_0 is $\rho(\mu_0)$-minimizing if and only if there is a closed 1-form ω_0, such that $\mathcal{A}_{L-\omega_0}(\mu_0) = \min_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}(L)}\{\mathcal{A}_{(L-\omega_0)}(\mu)\}$. Such a class $[\omega_0] \in H^1(M, I\!R)$ is called a *subderivative* of β at the point $\rho(\mu_0)$. For each $[\omega] \in H^1(M, I\!R)$, we consider the subset:

$$\mathcal{M}^{\omega}(L) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L)\ ;\ \ \alpha([\omega]) = -A_{L-\omega}(\mu)\ \}. \tag{32}$$

Mather's set $\Lambda([\omega]) \subset TM$ is the compact and invariant subset defined as:

$$\Lambda([\omega]) = \overline{\bigcup_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}^{\omega}(L)} \text{Supp}(\mu)}.$$

A important result of Mather in [25, theorem 2] is that $\Lambda([\omega])$ is a Lipschitz graph over a compact subset of M.

Using the properties of the α and β functions, we will prove:

Lemma A.1. *We suppose that* $H_1(M, I\!R) \neq 0$. *Given* $c > c_0(L)$ *and a nontrivial class* $h_0 \in H_1(M, I\!R)$*, there is a closed 1-form* ω_0 *and* $\lambda_0 \in I\!R$*, such that* $[\omega_0] \in H^1(M, I\!R)$ *is a subderivative of the* β *function at the point* $\lambda_0 h_0 \in H_1(M, I\!R)$*, with* $\alpha([\omega_0]) = c$.

Proof. Since β is superlinear, we have:

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{\beta(\lambda h_0)}{|\lambda h_0|} = \infty. \tag{33}$$

Let $\partial\beta : H_1(M, I\!R) \to H_1(M, I\!R)^* = H^1(M, I\!R)$ be the multivalued function such that to each point $h \in H_1(M, I\!R)$ associates all subderivatives of β in the point h. Since β is finite, $\partial\beta(h)$ is a non empty convex cone for all $h \in H_1(M, I\!R)$, and $\partial\beta(h)$ is a unique vector if and only if β is differentiable in h (cf [31, Section 23]). We define the subset

$$S(h_0) = \bigcup_{\lambda\in I\!R} \partial\beta(\lambda h_0).$$

By (33) we have that the subset $S(h_0) \subset H^1(M, I\!R)$ is not bounded. Since β is continuous, by the above properties of the multivalued function $\partial\beta$, we have that $S(h_0)$ is a convex subset. Observe that if $\omega \in \partial\beta(0)$, then $\alpha([\omega]) = c_0(L) = \min\{\alpha([\delta])\ ;\ \ \delta \in H^1(M, I\!R)\}$ and, by superlinearity of α, the restriction $\alpha|_{S(h_0)}$ is not bounded. Therefore, by the intermediate value theorem, for each $c \in [c_0(L), \infty)$ there is $\omega_0 \in \partial\beta(\lambda_0 h_0) \subset S(h_0)$, for some $\lambda_0 \in I\!R$, such that $\alpha([\omega_0]) = c$.

□

Let us recall the definition of *Mañé's critical value* [23, 8]. Given two points $x, y \in M$ and $T > 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_T(x, y)$ the set of all absolutely continuous curves $\gamma : [0, T] \to M$, such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(T) = y$. For each $k \in I\!R$, we define the *action potential* $\Phi_k : M \times M \to I\!R$ by:

$$\Phi_k(x, y) = \inf\{A_{L+k}(\gamma)\ ;\ \ \gamma \in \cup_{T>0}\mathcal{C}_T(x, y)\ \}$$

The critical value of a lagrangian L is the real number $c(L)$ given by:

$$c(L) = \inf\{k \in I\!R\ ;\ \ \Phi_k(x, x) > -\infty \ \text{ for any } x \in M\}. \tag{34}$$

Let $p : N \to M$ be a covering of M and $\mathbb{L} : TN \to I\!R$ be the lift of L to N, i.e $\mathbb{L} = L \circ dp$. Then, for each $k \in I\!R$, we can define the action potential $\Phi_k : N \times N \to I\!R$ just as above and we obtain the critical value $c(\mathbb{L})$ for $\mathbb{L}$. Among all coverings of M the abelian covering, which we shall denote by $\tilde{M}$, is distinguished. We define the *strict critical value* $c_0(L)$ as the critical value (34) for the lift $\tilde{L} : \tilde{M} \to I\!R$ of L to $\tilde{M}$.

We recall that an absolutely continuous curve $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ is said to be *semistatic* if

$$A_{L+c(L)}(\gamma|_{[t_0,t_1]}) = \Phi_{c(L)}(\gamma(t_0), \gamma(t_1)) \quad \forall\ \ a < t_0 \leq t_1 < b.$$

We say that a semistatic curve $\gamma|_{[a,b]}$ is *static* when $d_{c(L)}(\gamma(t_0), \gamma(t_1)) = 0$, for all $a < t_0 \leq t_1 < b$, where $d_k(x, y) = \Phi_k(x, y) + \Phi_k(y, x)$ defines a distance function for $k > c(L)$ and a pseudo-distance for $k = c(L)$. By definition of the action potential, a semistatic curve is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations and has energy equal to $c(L)$ (cf. [23, 8]). The concepts of semistatic and static curves are related to the concepts of *c-minimal trajectory* and *regular c-minimal trajectory* respectively, that were introduced by Mather in [26].

Given $\theta \in TM$ we will denote by $\gamma_\theta : I\!R \to M$ the unique solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations with the initial condition $(\gamma_\theta(0), \dot\gamma_\theta(0)) = \theta$. We define the sets:

$$\Sigma = \Sigma(L) = \{\theta \in TM \ ; \ \ \gamma_\theta : I\!R \to M \ \text{ is semistatic } \},$$

$$\widehat{\Sigma} = \widehat{\Sigma}(L) = \{\theta \in TM \ ; \ \ \gamma_\theta : I\!R \to M \ \text{ is static } \};$$

both are compact and invariant subsets of TM. Let $\pi : TM \to M$ the canonical projection. Then $\pi|_{\widehat{\Sigma}} : \widehat{\Sigma} \to M$ is a bijective map with Lipschitz inverse, the proof of this property can be seen in [8, theorem VI] and is a extension of the Mather's graph theorem [25, theorem 2].

By the graph property, we can define an equivalence relation in the set $\widehat{\Sigma}$: two elements θ_1 and $\theta_2 \in \widehat{\Sigma}$ are equivalent when $d_{c(L)}(\pi(\theta_1), \pi(\theta_2)) = 0$. The equivalence relation breaks $\widehat{\Sigma}$ into classes that are called *static classes of L*. Let $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ be the set of all static classes. We define a partial order $\preceq$ in $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ by: (i) $\preceq$ is reflexive and transitive, (ii) if there is $\theta \in \Sigma$, such that the α-limit set $\alpha(\theta) \subset \Lambda_i$ and the ω-limit set $\omega(\theta) \subset \Lambda_j$, then $\Lambda_i \preceq \Lambda_j$. The following theorem was proved by G. Contreras and G. Paternain in [11, theorem A].

Theorem A.1. *Suppose that the number of static class is finite, then given Λ_i and Λ_j in $\mathbf{\Lambda}$, we have that $\Lambda_i \preceq \Lambda_j$.*

Let $\Lambda \subset TM$ be an invariant subset. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$, we say that the points $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Lambda$ are (ϵ, T)*-connected by chain* in Λ, if there is a finite sequence $\{(\xi_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset \Lambda \times I\!R$, such that $\xi_1 = \theta_1$, $\xi_n = \theta_2$, $T < t_i$ and $d(\phi_{t_i}(\xi_1), \xi_{i+1}) < \epsilon$, for $i = 1, ..., n-1$. We say that the subset $\Lambda \subset TM$ is *chain transitive*, if for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Lambda$ and for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$, the points θ_1 and θ_2 are (ϵ, T)-connected by chain in Λ. When this condition holds for $\theta_1 = \theta_2$, we say that Λ is *chain recurrent.* The proof of the following theorem can be seen in [8, theorem V].

Theorem A.2.

(a) *Σ is chain transitive.*
(b) *$\widehat{\Sigma}$ is chain recurrent.*
(c) *the α and ω-limit sets of a semistatic orbit are contained in $\widehat{\Sigma}$.*

We will now recall the relations between the Mather's theory and the critical values of a lagrangian. Mañé in [23, 8], proved the notable equality $c(L - \omega) = \alpha([\omega])$, for all closed 1-form ω on M. For the abelian covering of M, in [29] G. Paternain and M. Paternain proved :

$$c_0(L) = \min_{[\omega] \in H^1(M, I\!R)} \{c(L - \omega)\} = \min_{[\omega] \in H^1(M, I\!R)} \{\alpha([\omega])\} = -\beta(0).$$

The following theorem was also proved by Mañé in [23]. The proof can be see in [8, theorem IV].

Theorem A.3. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L)$ and ω be a closed 1-form in M. Then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(L)$ if only if Supp$(\mu) \subset \widehat{\Sigma}(L - \omega)$.*

Finally, we recall that a class $h \in H_1(M, I\!R)$ is said to be *rational* if there is $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda h \in i_* H_1(M, Z\!\!Z)$, where $i : H_1(M, Z\!\!Z) \hookrightarrow H_1(M, I\!R)$ denotes the inclusion. The proof of the following proposition can be found in [24]. See also [10, apendix A].

Proposition A.1. *Let M be a closed and oriented surface. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L)$ be a measure $\rho(\mu)$-minimizing such that $\rho(\mu)$ is rational. Then the support of μ is a union of closed orbits of L.*

A.2. **Magnetic flows on T^2.** Let g be a riemannian metric in the torus $T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. Given an exact 2-form $d\eta$ in T^2, the magnetic flow associated to $d\eta$ is given by the Euler-Lagrange equations of the lagrangian $L_\eta(x,v) = \frac{1}{2}g_x(v,v) - \eta_x(v)$. The main result of this appendix is:

Proposition A.2. *Given $c > c_0(L_\eta)$ there is an exact 2-form $d\overline{\eta} \in \Omega^2(T^2)$ arbitrarily C^r-close to $d\eta$, with $1 \le r \le \infty$, such that $h_{top}(d\overline{\eta}, c) > 0$.*

Proof. By theorem 2.1, there is a C^r-residual subset $\mathcal{O}(c) \subset \{\Omega \in \Omega^2(T^2)\ ;\ [\Omega] = 0\}$ such that for all $\Omega \in \mathcal{O}(c)$ the exact magnetic flow $\phi_t^\Omega|_{T^cM}$ satisfies: all closed orbit are hyperbolic or elliptic and all heteroclinic points are transversal. Since $L \mapsto c(L)$ is continuous in the set of the lagrangians endowed with the uniform topology in compact subsets of TT^2 (cf. remark in [11, pg17]), we can choose an exact 2-form $d\xi$ arbitrarily C^r-close to $d\eta$, with $1 \le r \le \infty$, that satisfies $d\xi \in \mathcal{O}(c)$ and $c > c_0(L_\xi)$.

Let $i : H_1(T^2, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H_1(T^2, \mathbb{R})$ be the inclusion. Recall that $H_1(T^2, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and that $H_1(T^2, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $\{(0,1),(1,0)\} \subset H_1(T^2, \mathbb{Z})$ is a base of $H_1(T^2, \mathbb{R})$. It is easy to see that if α_0, α_1 are two closed curves in T^2, with $[\alpha_0] = (0,1)$ and $[\alpha_1] = (1,0)$, then $\alpha_0 \cap \alpha_1 \neq \emptyset$.

By applying lemma A.1 for $h_0 = (0,1) \in H_1(T^2, \mathbb{Z})$, we obtain a closed 1-form ω_0 on T^2 and $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $c = \alpha([\omega_0]) = c(L_\xi - \omega_0)$. Hence, if $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}(L_\xi)$ is a $(\lambda_0 h_0)$-minimizing measure, then:

$$\mathcal{A}_{L_\xi - \omega_0}(\mu_0) = \min_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L_\xi)} \{\mathcal{A}_{L_\xi - \omega_0}(\mu)\}.$$

Since $\rho(\mu_0) = \lambda_0 h_0$ is rational, by proposition A.1, the support of μ_0 is a union of periodic orbits.

Let $\Lambda([\omega_0]) \subset TM$ the Mather's set associated to $[\omega_0] \in H^1(T^2, \mathbb{R})$. By definition of $\Lambda([\omega_0])$, we have that $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu_0) \subset \Lambda([\omega_0])$. By the graph theorem $\pi|_{\Lambda([\omega_0])} : \Lambda([\omega_0]) \to T^2$ is injective. Hence, if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : \mathbb{R} \to T^2$ are two distinct closed $d\xi$-magnetic geodesics contained in $\pi(\Lambda([\omega_0]))$, then γ_1 and γ_2 must be simple closed curves and $[\gamma_1] = n[\gamma_2] \in H_1(T^2, \mathbb{Z})$, because otherwise $\gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let $t \mapsto (\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))$ be a closed orbit contained in the support of the measure μ_0 and let μ_γ be the probability measure supported in $(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))$. By definition of ρ, we have that

$$\rho(\mu_\gamma) = \frac{[\gamma]}{T},$$

where $T > 0$ denotes the period of γ. It follows from $\rho(\mu_0) = \lambda_0 h_0$ and linearity of ρ, that $[\gamma] = n_0 h_0$ for some $0 \neq n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Recall that if a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^{\omega_0}(L_\xi)$, then all ergodic components of μ are contained in $\mathcal{M}^{\omega_0}(L_\xi)$ too (cf. [22, pg. 78]). Since $c = c(L_\xi - \omega_0) > c_0(L_\xi) = -\beta(0)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\omega_0}(L_\xi)$ is a closed set, there is $k > 0$ such that

$$|\rho(\mu)| > k > 0\ , \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \mathcal{M}^{\omega_0}(L_\xi). \tag{35}$$

Therefore, the period of a periodic orbit contained in $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu_0)$ is bounded. Then $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu_0)$ is a finite number of periodic orbits of L_ξ (because $d\xi \in \mathcal{O}(c)$).

Let $\mu \neq \mu_0$ be an invariant measure contained in $\mathcal{M}^{\omega_0}$. We will show that $\rho(\mu) \in \langle h_0 \rangle \subset H_1(T^2, \mathbb{R})$. Observe that, if $\gamma \in \pi(\ \mathrm{Supp}(\mu_0))$ then $[\gamma] \neq 0$ and the set $C_\gamma = T^2 - \{\gamma\}$ define an open cylinder. By the graph property of $\Lambda([\omega_0])$, we have that $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu) \cap \mathrm{Supp}(\mu_0) = \emptyset$. Hence $\pi(\mathrm{Supp}(\mu)) \subset C_\gamma$. Therefore $\rho(\mu) \in i_*(H_1(C_\gamma, \mathbb{R})) \subset H_1(T^2, \mathbb{R})$, where $i : C_\gamma \hookrightarrow T^2$ is the inclusion. By definition of C_γ we have that $\rho(\mu) \in \langle h_0 \rangle \subset H_1(T^2, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{M}^{\omega_0}(L_\xi) \subset \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L_\xi)\ ;\ \ \rho(\mu) \in \langle h_0 \rangle\ \}.$$

Using again the proposition A.1 and the inequality (35), we obtain that the set $\Lambda([\omega_0])$ is a union of a finite number of periodic orbits for the lagrangian L_ξ.

If there is a non-hyperbolic closed orbit in $\Lambda([\omega_0])$, then this proposition reduces to theorem 1.1. It remains to consider the case where all periodic orbits are hyperbolic. Let$\gamma_i : \mathbb{R} \to T^2$ (with

$i = 1, ..., n$) be closed magnetic geodesics such that

$$\pi\left(\Lambda([\omega_0])\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i.$$

Since $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu_0) \subset \Lambda([\omega_0])$, we have that $[\gamma_i] = n_0 h_0 = (0, n_0) \in H_1(T^2, \mathbb{Z})$, for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Given $\theta \in TM$ we denote by $\gamma_\theta : \mathbb{R} \to M$ the unique solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations of L_ξ, with the initial condition $(\gamma_\theta(0), \dot\gamma_\theta(0)) = \theta$. Let

$$\widehat{\Sigma}(\omega_0) = \widehat{\Sigma}(L_\xi - \omega_0) = \{\theta \in TM \ ; \ \ \gamma_\theta : \mathbb{R} \to M \ \text{ is static for } (L_\xi - \omega_0)\},$$

and let $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ be the set of all static classes for the lagrangian $L_\xi - \omega$. Recall that $\Lambda([\omega_0]) \subset \widehat{\Sigma}(\omega_0)$, and since each static class is a connected set (proposition 3.4 in [11]), for each $1 \le i \le n$, the orbit $(\gamma_i(t), \dot\gamma_i(t))$ is contained in a static class. On the other hand, theorem A.3 implies that each static class contains at least one of the periodic orbits in the set $\Lambda([\omega_0])$. Hence the number of static classes is bounded by n.

Suppose that $\Lambda([\omega_0]) = \widehat{\Sigma}(\omega_0)$. Then each closed orbit in $\Lambda([\omega_0])$ is a static class. Let $\Lambda_1, ..., \Lambda_n$ be the static classes for the lagrangian $L_\xi - \omega_0$. Applying the theorem A.1, we obtain that $\Lambda_i \preceq \Lambda_j$, for all $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. In particular $\Lambda_1 \preceq \Lambda_1$. Therefore, there is a point $\theta \in \Sigma(\omega_0) = \Sigma(L_\xi - \omega_0)$ such that the α-limit set $\alpha(\theta) \subset \Lambda_1$ and the ω-limit set $\omega(\theta) \subset \Lambda_1$. Since $(\gamma_1(t), \dot\gamma_1(t)) = \Lambda_1$ is a hyperbolic orbit of $\phi_t^{d\xi}|_{T^cM}$ and that $d\xi \in \mathcal{O}(c)$, we have that Λ_1 has a transversal homoclinic orbit $\phi_t^{d\xi}(\theta)$. Then $h_{top}(d\xi, c) > 0$, which proves the theorem in this case.

We will now consider the case $\Lambda([\omega_0]) \neq \widehat{\Sigma}(\omega_0)$. For each $\theta \in \widehat{\Sigma}(\omega_0) \setminus \Lambda([\omega_0])$, by the graph property of the static set $\widehat{\Sigma}(\omega_0)$, the magnetic geodesic $\gamma_\theta : \mathbb{R} \to T^2$ has no self-intersection points and $\gamma_\theta \cap \pi(\Lambda([\omega_0])) = \emptyset$. Moreover, by theorem A.3, we have that the α-limit and ω-limit sets are contained in the Mather set $\Lambda([\omega_0])$. Since a curve on T^2, that accumulates in positive time to more than one closed curve, must have self-intersection points, we have that $\omega(\theta)$ is a single closed orbit. By the same arguments, we have that $\alpha(\theta)$ is a single closed orbit. Since $d\xi \in \mathcal{O}(c)$, the orbit $\phi_t^{d\xi}(\theta)$ is a transversal heteroclinic orbit. Certainly, if $\Lambda([\omega_0])$ is a unique closed orbit, then $\phi_t^{d\xi}(\theta)$ is a transversal homoclinic orbit, which implies $h_{top}(d\xi, c) > 0$. In the opposite case, i.e, $n > 1$, by recurrence property (theorem A.2), we have that if $\theta \in \widehat{\Sigma}(\omega_0) \setminus \Lambda([\omega_0])$, then θ is an (ϵ, T)-chain connected in $\widehat{\Sigma}(\omega_0)$, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$, i.e, there is a finite sequence $\{(\zeta_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{k} \subset \widehat{\Sigma}(\omega_0) \times \mathbb{R}$, such that $\zeta_1 = \zeta_k = \theta$, $T < t_i$ and $d(\phi_{t_i}^{d\xi}(\zeta_1), \zeta_{i+1}) < \epsilon$, for $i = 1, ..., k-1$. Since the closed magnetic geodesics in $\pi(\Lambda([\omega_0]))$ are isolated on the torus, we have that for ϵ small enough, the set $\{\pi(\zeta_i)\}_{i=1}^{k} \subset \pi(\widehat{\Sigma}(\omega_0))$ must intersect the interior of each one of the cylinders obtained by cutting the torus along the two curves $\gamma_i, \gamma_j \in \pi(\Lambda([\omega_0]))$, with $1 \le i, j \le n$. Therefore, choosing an orientation on $\pi(\Lambda([\omega_0]))$ and reordering the indices, we obtain a cycle of transversal heteroclinic orbits. This implies that $h_{top}(d\xi, c) > 0$.

□

References

1. D. V. Anosov and Ja. G. Sinaĭ, *Certain smooth ergodic systems*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **22** (1967), no. 5 (137), 107–172.
2. V. I. Arnol′d, *Some remarks on flows of line elements and frames*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **138** (1961), 255–257.
3. R. Bowen, *Periodic orbits for hyperbolic flows*, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 1–30.
4. ______, *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 470.
5. K. Burns and G. P. Paternain, *Anosov magnetic flows, critical values and topological entropy*, Nonlinearity **15** (2002), no. 2, 281–314.
6. M. J. D. Carneiro and A. Lopes, *On the minimal action function of autonomous Lagrangians associated to magnetic fields*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **16** (1999), no. 6, 667–690.

7. G. Contreras, *Partially hyperbolic geodesic flows are Anosov*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **334** (2002), no. 7, 585–590.
8. G. Contreras, J. Delgado, and R. Iturriaga, *Lagrangian flows: the dynamics of globally minimizing orbits. II*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **28** (1997), no. 2, 155–196.
9. G. Contreras, R. Iturriaga, G. P. Paternain, and M. Paternain, *Lagrangian graphs, minimizing measures and Mañé's critical values*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), no. 5, 788–809.
10. G. Contreras, L. Macarini, and G. P. Paternain, *Periodic orbits for exact magnetic flows on surfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2004), no. 8, 361–387.
11. G. Contreras and G. P. Paternain, *Connecting orbits between static classes for generic Lagrangian systems*, Topology **41** (2002), no. 4, 645–666.
12. ———, *Genericity of geodesic flows with positive topological entropy on S^2*, J. Differential Geom. **61** (2002), no. 1, 1–49.
13. J. Franks, *Necessary conditions for stability of diffeomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **158** (1971), 301–308.
14. V. L. Ginzburg, *On the existence and non-existence of closed trajectories for some Hamiltonian flows*, Math. Z. **223** (1996), no. 3, 397–409.
15. N. Hingston, *Equivariant Morse theory and closed geodesics*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), no. 1, 85–116.
16. M. Hirsch, C. Pugh, and M. Shub, *Invariat manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 583, Springer, 1977.
17. A. Katok, *Ergodic perturbations of degenerate integrable Hamiltonian systems*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **37** (1973), 539–576.
18. ———, *Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1980), no. 51, 137–173.
19. A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 54, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza.
20. P. Le Calvez, *Propriétés dynamiques des difféomorphismes de l'anneau et du tore*, Astérisque (1991), no. 204, 131.
21. R. Mañé, *An ergodic closing lemma*, Ann. of Math. (2) **116** (1982), no. 3, 503–540.
22. ———, *Global variational metholds in conservative dynamics*, 18° Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática. [18nd Brazilian Mathematics Colloquium], Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, 1991.
23. ———, *Lagrangian flows: the dynamics of globally minimizing orbits*, International Conference on Dynamical Systems (Montevideo, 1995), Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., vol. 362, Longman, Harlow, 1996, pp. 120–131.
24. D. Massart, *Stable norms of surfaces: local structure of the unit ball of rational directions*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **7** (1997), no. 6, 996–1010.
25. J. Mather, *Action minimizing invariant measures for positive definite Lagrangian systems*, Math. Z. **207** (1991), no. 2, 169–207.
26. ———, *Variational construction of connecting orbits*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **43** (1993), 1349–1386.
27. J. A. G. Miranda, *Generic properties for magnetic flows on surfaces*, Nonlinearity **19** (2006), 1849–1874.
28. G. P. Paternain and M. Paternain, *Anosov geodesic flows and twisted symplectic structures*, International Conference on Dynamical Systems (Montevideo, 1995), Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., vol. 362, Longman, Harlow, 1996, pp. 132–145.
29. ———, *Critical values of autonomous Lagrangian systems*, Comment. Math. Helv. **72** (1997), no. 3, 481–499. MR 98i:58098
30. H. B. Rademacher, *On the average indices of closed geodesics*, J. Differential Geom. **29** (1989), no. 1, 65–83.
31. R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex analysis*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 28, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
32. C. L. Siegel and J. K. Moser, *Lectures on celestial mechanics*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995, Translated from the German by C. I. Kalme, Reprint of the 1971 translation.
33. S. Smale, *Differentiable dynamical systems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 747–817.
34. G. Thorbergsson, *Non-hypervolic closed geodesics*, Math. Scand **44** (1979), 135–148.
35. W. Ziller, *Geometry of the Katok examples*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **3** (1983), no. 1, 135–157.

Universidade Federal of Minas Gerais, Dep. of Matemática, ICEx, Av. Antônio Carlos, 6627/ C. P.702, 30123-970, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil.

E-mail address: `jan@mat.ufmg.br`